



Barnsley M B C
Planning and Development Management
PO Box 604
Barnsley S70 9FE

Dear Sirs



fao Mr G Northern
Case Officer
your ref 2014/0607
our ref JBA.3330
11th August 2014

PROPOSALS @ 2 MELLWOOD GROVE HEMMINGFIELD

As you are aware we are now acting in agency on behalf of the applicants for the above application and acknowledge your acceptance that the dead line for the application is now to be Friday 22nd next.

So far as the submitted application is concerned we would wish that to remain as submitted albeit with the following minor revisions indicated on the sketch details as referred to below, and any emails or correspondence to the contrary to be disregarded and not to form any part of the application,

- JBA.3330.sk.1 revised front and side elevation,
- JBA.3330.sk.2 revised ground and first floor layouts relating to the above elevations.

In addition to the above, could the description of the application be amended to read as set out below,

***"Conversion of small detached dwelling house to provide
a more acceptably sized family home."***

Taking the first sketch referred to above it will be noted that the front elevation now gives, in architectural terms, a prime example of duality and the two level protruding hall and part study above sits directly central and "breaks" the horizontal effect of the front elevation. So far as the side elevation is concerned, to lessen the masonry impact to the road scene, we have introduced at ground floor level, a service door to the garage, positioned centrally, and a high level window to its left. Then at first floor level we have positioned a further window to the master bedroom and balanced that with a widow in the en-suite that replaces the window to the rear elevation. This will mean that the orientation of the en-suite will need to be revisited.

In considering the determination of the application we would respectfully suggest that other examples very similar to these proposals, in proximity to the site, be viewed. In deed there are some examples that have no architectural merit, are disproportionate and dominate the properties original form. Whereas this proposal, herewith submitted gives good architectural balance.

If there are any points you wish we take up with us with regard to this correspondence or the enclosed details then please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully,
JOHN BOX ASSOCIATES

John Elford Box BSc LLB MRICS MCIQB MASI MCIArb[ret]
Architectural and Planning Consultant + Chartered Building Surveyor

Encl.

Incorporating
boxhaywood
DESIGN

