

ANOMALY TYPE	INTERPRETATION
(SHOWING POSITIVE COMPONENT AND EXTENT OF ANOMALY WHERE PRESENT)	SURFACE / NEAR-SURFACE FERROUS OF FIRED MATERIAL (PROBABLE MODERN). SOME RESPONSES COULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH MINE WORKINGS
AREA OF STRONG DIPOLAR / BIPOLAR RESPONSES (MAGNETIC DISTURBANCE)	SURFACE / NEAR-SURFACE FERROUS OF FIRED MATERIAL (PROBABLE MODERN)
BIPOLAR LINEAR / CURVI-LINEAR	MODERN LINEAR MAGNETIC FEATURE. PROBABLE FIELD DRAIN
LIMIT OF VERY STRONG RESPONSE	INTERFERENCE CAUSED BY MODERN MAGNETIC FEATURE (FEATURE MAY BE LOCATED BEYOND THE SURVEY AREA)
BROAD, DIFFUSE AREA OF POSITIVE AND / OR NEGATIVE RESPONSES OR DIFFUSE TREND	PROBABLE NATURAL FEATURE / VARIATIONS BUT SOME RESPONSES COULD BE CAUSED BY RELATIVELY MODERN MATERIAL /ACTIVITY
APPROXIMATE ORIENTATION OF BROADLY PARALLEL POSITIVE LINEARS	AGRICULTURAL FEATURES. PROBABLE MODERN PLOUGHING REGIME
APPROXIMATE ORIENTATION OF BROADLY PARALLEL BIPOLAR LINEARS	AGRICULTURAL FEATURES. PROBABLE / POSSIBLE DRAINAGE REGIME BUT COUL BE RELATED TO OTHER AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY
LINEAR TREND	BROADLY CORRESPONDS WITH FORMEF FIELD BOUNDARY. RESPONSES WILL BE RELATED TO THIS FEATURE
LINEAR / CURVI-LINEAR TREND (WEAK OR DIFFUSE RESPONSE)	UNCERTAIN ORIGIN. POSSIBLE SUB-SURFACE FEATURE / REMNANT OF FEATURE
ISOLATED POSITIVE RESPONSE	PROBABLE GEOLOGICAL / PEDOLOGICAL VARIATION BUT COULD ALSO BE DEEPEF BURIED FERROUS / FIRED MATERIAL OR INFILLED ISOLATED FEATURE. SOME LARGER RESPONSES COULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH MINE WORKINGS
LINEAR / CURVI-LINEAR POSITIVE RESPONSE	PROBABLE INFILLED FEATURE. COULD B ARCHAEOLOGICAL BUT COULD ALSO BE RELATED TO AGRICULTURAL OR DRAINAGE ACTIVITY





AN	NOMALY TYPE	INTERPRETATION
ISOL (SHC AND PRE	ATED BIPOLAR RESPONSE DWING POSITIVE COMPONENT EXTENT OF ANOMALY WHERE SENT)	SURFACE / NEAR-SURFACE FERROUS OR FIRED MATERIAL (PROBABLE MODERN). SOME RESPONSES COULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH MINE WORKINGS
ARE BIPC (MAC	A OF STRONG DIPOLAR / DLAR RESPONSES GNETIC DISTURBANCE)	SURFACE / NEAR-SURFACE FERROUS OR FIRED MATERIAL (PROBABLE MODERN)
BIPC	DLAR LINEAR / CURVI-LINEAR	MODERN LINEAR MAGNETIC FEATURE. PROBABLE FIELD DRAIN
	T OF VERY STRONG PONSE	INTERFERENCE CAUSED BY MODERN MAGNETIC FEATURE (FEATURE MAY BE LOCATED BEYOND THE SURVEY AREA)
BRO. POSI RESI	AD, DIFFUSE AREA OF ITIVE AND / OR NEGATIVE PONSES OR DIFFUSE TREND	PROBABLE NATURAL FEATURE / VARIATIONS BUT SOME RESPONSES COULD BE CAUSED BY RELATIVELY MODERN MATERIAL /ACTIVITY
APP BRO LINE	ROXIMATE ORIENTATION OF ADLY PARALLEL POSITIVE ARS	AGRICULTURAL FEATURES. PROBABLE MODERN PLOUGHING REGIME
FD/FD? APPI BRO	ROXIMATE ORIENTATION OF ADLY PARALLEL BIPOLAR ARS	AGRICULTURAL FEATURES. PROBABLE / POSSIBLE DRAINAGE REGIME BUT COULD BE RELATED TO OTHER AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY
LINE	AR TREND	BROADLY CORRESPONDS WITH FORMER FIELD BOUNDARY. RESPONSES WILL BE RELATED TO THIS FEATURE
LINE (WE/	AR / CURVI-LINEAR TREND AK OR DIFFUSE RESPONSE)	UNCERTAIN ORIGIN. POSSIBLE SUB-SURFACE FEATURE / REMNANT OF FEATURE
ISOL	ATED POSITIVE RESPONSE	PROBABLE GEOLOGICAL / PEDOLOGICAL VARIATION BUT COULD ALSO BE DEEPER BURIED FERROUS / FIRED MATERIAL OR INFILLED ISOLATED FEATURE. SOME LARGER RESPONSES COULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH MINE WORKINGS
LINE	AR / CURVI-LINEAR POSITIVE PONSE	PROBABLE INFILLED FEATURE. COULD BE ARCHAEOLOGICAL BUT COULD ALSO BE RELATED TO AGRICULTURAL OR DRAINAGE ACTIVITY
LINE	AR / CURVI-LINEAR POSITIVE PONSE	PROBABLE INFILLED ARCHAEOLOGICAL FEATURE

Ч.Г

FIELD 1

FIELD 3

B

В

B[°] B[°]

В

B

K

В В В

J. 1

B

ქ

			NO	TEQ					
	1. THIS DRAWING MUST BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT (ARC_2481_918_RPT. DOC) WHICH PROVIDES DETAILS OF THE TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED, THEIR INHERENT LIMITATIONS AND ANY SITE SPECIFIC ISSUES.								
	2. THIS DRAWING IS BASED UPON DRAWING '4102-001 HALIFAX RD, PENISTONE, FULL SITE TOPO - 22.12.16.dwg' PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT. THE ORDNANCE SURVEY CO-ORDINATES OBTAINED FOR THIS SURVEY WERE MEASURED USING THE UKOSTN02 PROJECTION. THIS PROJECTION SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IF THE SURVEY GRID IS RELOCATED.								
	3. PH. RE OF	ASE SIT SPONSIBI ANY INFC	E INVESTIC LITY FOR TH DRMATION PI	Gations E reliab Rovided	CANNC ILITY OR BY A TH	DT ACCEPT ACCURACY RD PARTY.			
- MI	4. TH TH CO DIS PA RE FO ALL	IS DRAWI EREIN IS PYRIGHT SCLOSURE RTIES A PLICATIOI RBIDDEN. WAYS EXE	NG AND TH ISSUED IN OF PHASE S OF THIS AND UNAL N OF THIS I ERCISE CAUT	E INFOR CONFIE ITE INVES INFORI ITHORISE DATA WIT	MATION DENCE A STIGATIC MATION D COI HOUT A N EXCAN	CONTAINED ND IS THE NS LIMITED. TO THIRD PYING OR PPROVAL IS /ATING			
ł			N						
$\left \right\rangle$									
ζ				Д					
		Direction	n of porth t	, akan from	n drawii	na			
'	'4102-	001 HAL	IFAX RD, I	PENIST	ONE, FU	JLL SITE			
			TOPO - 2	22.12.16	.dwg'				
2	Phase Site Investigations Ltd, 703A Whinfield Drive, Aycliffe Business Park, Newton Aycliffe, County Durham, DL5 6AU								
7 7	T: +44 [0] 01325 311 751 E: enquiries@PhaseSI.com W: www.PhaseSI.com								
		E	W: www.P) PhaseS haseSI.co	l.com om				
	Scale 1·12	[A3 Sheet] [250	Drawing	DPhaseS haseSI.co	I.com om	Status FINAI			
	Scale 1:12 Client	[A3 Sheet] 250	Drawing	PhaseS haseSI.co	I.com om 8_09	Status FINAL			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN	[A3 Sheet] 250 IT HON	Drawing ARC_24 IES & YC	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_918 DRKSH	I.com om 8_09 IRE L/	Status FINAL AND LTD			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site	E [A3 Sheet] 250 IT HON	Drawing ARC_24 IES & YC WAKE	DPhaseS haseSI.co 181_91 DRKSH FIELD	I.com om 8_09 IRE L/	Status FINAL AND LTD			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site	E 250 IT HOM AND SC ENISTC	Drawing ARC_24 IES & YC WAKE	DPhaseS haseSI.co 181_91 RKSH FIELD	I.com 8_09 IRE L/	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site L/	E 250 IT HOM AND SC ENISTC	Drawing ARC_24 MES & YC WAKE OUTH OF DNE, SOU	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_91 RKSH FIELD HALIF JTH Y(I.com 8_09 IRE L/ FAX R ORKSI	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site L/ PI Title IN	E 250 IT HOM AND SO ENISTO ITERPE	Drawing ARC_24 MES & YC WAKE OUTH OF DNE, SOU RETATIO	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_91 RKSH FIELD HALIF JTH Y(I.com B_09 IRE L/ FAX RO ORKSI MAGN	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE ETIC			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site L Pl Title IN GRA	E [A3 Sheet] 250 IT HOM AND SC ENISTC JTERPE ADIENT	Drawing ARC_24 MES & YC WAKE OUTH OF DNE, SOI RETATIO T DATA: F	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_918 ORKSH FIELD TH YO N OF I FIELD S S 1 AN	I.com om 8_09 IRE L/ FAX R(ORKSI ORKSI MAGN 3 AND D 2	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE ETIC PARTS			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site L, Pl Title IN GRA	E [A3 Sheet] 250 IT HOM AND SC ENISTC JTERPE ADIENT C	Drawing ARC_24 MES & YC WAKE OUTH OF DNE, SOU RETATIO T DATA: F DF FIELD ARC_24	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_918 ORKSH FIELD FIELD NOFI FIELD S S 1 AN 481_91	I.com om 8_09 IRE L/ FAX R(DRKSI VAGN 3 AND D 2 8	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE ETIC PARTS			
	Scale 1:12 Client AVAN Site L/ Pl Title IN GR/ Job No	E [A3 Sheet] 250 IT HOM AND SC ENISTC JTERPI ADIENT C JW	Drawing ARC_24 MES & YC WAKE OUTH OF DNE, SOU RETATIO T DATA: F DF FIELD ARC_24 /, CA	PhaseS haseSI.co 181_918 ORKSH FIELD FIELD NOFI FIELD S 1 AN 481_91	I.com om 8_09 IRE L/ FAX R(DRKSI VAGN 3 AND D 2 8	Status FINAL AND LTD OAD HIRE ETIC PARTS W, CA			

F

É

М

B

B

B

Μ

B

B

E

Ĥ

B

H

В

M/



BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

British Geological Survey, 2018, online resource - www.bgs.ac.uk BWB Consulting, 2018, Halifax Road, Penistone – Heritage Assessment old-maps.co.uk, 2018, online resource - www.old-maps.co.uk Soilscapes, 2018, online resource - www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes



APPENDIX 1

Magnetic survey: technical information

1.1 Theoretical background

- 1.1.1 Magnetic instruments measure the value of the Earth's magnetic field; the units of which are nanoTeslas (nT). The presence of surface and sub-surface features can cause variations or anomalies in this magnetic field. The strength of the anomaly is dependent on the magnetic properties of a feature and the material that surrounds it. The two magnetic properties that are of most interest are magnetic susceptibility and thermoremnant magnetism.
- 1.1.2 Magnetic susceptibility indicates the amount of ferrous (iron) minerals that are present. These can be redistributed or changed (enhanced) by human activity. If enhanced material subsequently fills in features such as pits or ditches then these can produce localised increases in magnetic responses (anomalies) which can be detected by a magnetic gradiometer even when the features are buried under additional soil cover.
- 1.1.3 In general, it is the contrast between the magnetic susceptibility of deposits filling cut features, such as ditches or pits, and the magnetic susceptibility of topsoils, subsoils and rocks into which these features have been cut which causes the most recognisable responses. This is primarily because there is a tendency for magnetic ferrous compounds to become concentrated in the topsoil, thereby making it more magnetic than the subsoil or the bedrock. Linear features cut into the subsoil or geology, such as ditches, that have been silted up or have been backfilled with topsoil will therefore usually produce a positive magnetic response relative to the background soil levels. Discrete feature, such as pits, can also be detected. Less magnetic material such as masonry or plastic service pipes which intrude into the topsoil may give a negative magnetic response relative to the background magnetic susceptibility, how rapidly the feature has been infilled, the level and type of human activity in the area and the size and depth of a feature. Not all infilled features can be detected and natural variations can also produce localised positive and negative anomalies.
- 1.1.4 Thermoremnant magnetism indicates the amount of magnetism inherent in an object as a result of heating. Material that has been heated to a high temperature (fired), such as brick, can acquire strong magnetic properties and so although they may not appear to have a high iron content they can produce strong magnetic anomalies
- 1.1.5 The magnetic survey method is highly sensitive to interference from surface and near-surface magnetic 'contaminants'. Surface features such as metallic fencing, reinforced concrete, buildings or walls all have very strong magnetic signatures that can dominate readings collected adjacent to them. Identification of anomalies caused by sub-surface features is therefore more difficult, or even impossible, in the vicinity of surface magnetic features. The presence of made ground also has a detrimental effect on the magnetic data quality as this usually contains magnetic material in the form of metallic scrap and brick. Identification of features beneath made ground is still possible if the target feature is reasonably large and has a strong magnetic response but smaller features or magnetically weak features are unlikely to be identified.
- 1.1.6 The interpretation of magnetic anomalies is often subjective and it is rarely possible to identify the cause of all magnetic anomalies. Not all features will produce a measurable magnetic response and the effectiveness of a magnetic survey is also dependent on the site-specific conditions. The main factors that may limit whether a feature can be detected are the



composition of a feature, its depth and size and the surrounding material. It is not possible to guarantee that a magnetic survey will identify all sub-surface features.

- 1.1.7 Most high resolution, near surface magnetic surveys utilise a magnetic gradiometer. A gradiometer is a hand-held instrument that consists of two magnetic sensors, one positioned directly above the other, which allows measurement of the magnetic gradient component of the magnetic field. A gradiometer configuration eliminates the need for applying corrections due to natural variations in the overall field strength that occur during the course of a day but it only measures relative variations in the local magnetic field and so comparison of absolute values between sites is not possible.
- 1.1.8 Features that are commonly located using magnetic surveys include archaeological ditches and pits, buried structures or foundations, mineshafts, unexploded ordnance, metallic pipes and cables, buried piles and pile caps. The technique can also be used for geological mapping; particularly the location of igneous intrusions.

1.2 Instrumentation

1.2.1 A multi-sensor array cart system (MACS) utilising 8 Foerster 4.032 Ferex CON 650 gradiometers, spaced at 0.5 m intervals, with a control unit and data logger was used for the magnetic survey to survey part of the site.

1.3 Survey methodology - MACS

- 1.3.1 The MACS utilises an RTK GNSS system which means that survey grids do not have to be established. Instead an area is surveyed over a series of continuous profiles and the position of each data point is recorded using an RTK GNSS system. The sensors have a separation of 0.5 m which means that data was collected on profiles spaced at 0.5 m apart. Readings were taken at between 0.1 m and 0.15 m intervals.
- 1.3.2 Data is collected on zig-zag profiles along the full length or width of a field, although fields can be sub-divided if they are particularly large. Marker canes are set-out along field boundaries at set intervals and these are used to align the profiles. The survey profiles are usually offset from field boundaries, buildings and other metallic features by several metres to reduce the detrimental effect that these surface magnetic features have on the data. The location of the MACS data is converted direct to Ordnance Survey co-ordinates using the UK OSTN 02 projection. As the data is related direct to Ordnance Survey National Grid co-ordinates temporary survey stations are not established.
- 1.3.3 The Foerster gradiometers have a resolution of 0.2 nT but the stability of the cart system significantly reduces noise caused by instrument tilt and movement when compared with a traditional hand-held gradiometer system and the increased data intervals provide a higher resolution data set. The sensors have a range of \pm 10,000nT and readings are taken at 0.1 nT resolution.

1.4 Data processing and presentation

1.4.1 The MACS data is stored direct to a laptop using in-house software which automatically corrects for instrument drift and calculates a mean value for each profile. A positional value is assigned to each data point based on the sensor number and recorded GNSS co-ordinates. The data is gridded using in-house software and parameters are set based on the sensor spacing and mean values. No additional processing is required. The gridded data is then displayed in Surfer 9 (Golden Software) and image files of the data are created.



- 1.4.2 The data was exported as raster images (PNG files), and are presented in greyscale format at 1:1250 and 1:2500.
- 1.4.3 The data has been displayed relative to a digital base plan provided by the client as drawing '4102-001 HALIFAX RD, PENISTONE, FULL SITE TOPO - 22.12.16.dwg'. The base plan was in the Ordnance Survey National Grid co-ordinate system and as the survey grids were set-out directly to National Grid co-ordinates the data could be simply superimposed onto the base plan in the correct position.

1.5 Interpretation

1.5.1 The anomalies have been categorised based on the type of response that they have and an interpretation as to the cause(s) or possible cause(s) of each anomaly type is also provided. The following anomaly types may be present within the data:

Dipolar and bipolar responses

Dipolar and bipolar responses are those that have a sharp variation between strongly positive and negative components.

In the majority of cases these responses are usually caused by modern ferrous features / objects, although fired material (such as brick), some ferrous or industrial archaeological features and strongly magnetic gravel could also produce dipolar and bipolar responses.

Isolated dipolar responses are those that have a single positive and negative element. They are usually caused by isolated, ferrous or fired material on or near to the surface. The objects that cause dipolar responses are usually relatively small, such as spent shotgun cartridges, iron nails and horseshoes (hence they are often referred to as 'iron spikes') or pieces of modern brick or pot. Some types of archaeological artefacts can also produce this type of response but unless there is strong supporting evidence to the contrary they are assumed not to be of archaeological significance.

Bipolar anomalies have strong positive and negative components but are not technically magnetic dipoles. The majority of **isolated bipolar responses** are caused by ferrous or fired material on or near to the surface. These responses tend to be produced from larger objects, compared to dipolar anomalies, or a concentration of smaller objects. Some archaeological features/ activity, including areas of burning or industrial activity can also produce this type of response but unless there is strong supporting evidence to the contrary they are assumed not to be of archaeological significance.

A large majority, if not all, of the dipolar and bipolar responses at this site will be nonarchaeological in origin but there may be greater potential for them to be related to archaeological features / activity where they are located in proximity to probable or possible archaeological features. Selected isolated responses have therefore been shown on the interpretation.

Smaller isolated dipolar and bipolar responses have not been shown on the interpretation as there is no evidence to suggest that they are related to archaeological activity. Several larger isolated bipolar responses have been shown as these could be associated with more significant sub-surface features or material (although in this instance they are not thought to be of archaeological interest).

Bipolar linear anomalies are usually produced by buried pipes / cables that are usually metallic, although in some instances ceramic pipes can also produce popular anomalies. In some instances the anomaly can extend for a sigfncaint distance beyond the feature that



produces the anomaly. Bipolar anomalies are often very strong and can potentially mask responses from other sub-surface features in the vicinity of the pipe or cable.

Areas containing numerous **strong dipolar / bipolar responses** (**magnetic disturbance**) are usually caused by greater concentrations of ferrous or fired material and are often found adjacent to field boundaries where such material tends to accumulate. Above ground metallic or strongly magnetic features, such as fences, gates, pylons and buildings can also produce very strong bipolar responses. If an area of magnetic disturbance is located away from existing field boundaries then it could indicate a former field boundary, several large isolated objects in close proximity, an area where modern material has been tipped or an infilled cut feature, such as a quarry pit. Areas of dipolar / bipolar response can occasionally be caused by features / material associated with archaeological industrial activity or natural deposits that have varying magnetic properties but they are usually caused by modern activity. Responses in areas of magnetic disturbance can sometimes be so strong that archaeological features located beneath them may not be detected.

Very strong responses, notably bipolar anomalies, from modern features can dominate the data for a significant distance beyond the feature. The extent of these areas is usually shown either as part of the bipolar anomaly or as a **limit of very strong response.** It should be noted that this effect extends beyond the feature and so the limit of the response does not correspond to the actual size or location of the feature within it. In many cases where these strong responses are present at the edge of survey area the feature causing the anomaly be actually be located beyond the survey area. It should be recognised that other sub-surface features located within these areas may not be detected.

Negative linear anomalies

Negative linear anomalies occur when a feature has lower magnetic readings than the surrounding material and can often be associated with ploughing regimes or plastic / concrete pipes or natural features.

They can also indicate the presence of a feature that cuts into magnetic soils or bedrock and which is infilled with less magnetic material and in certain geologies can be associated with archaeological features.

There are no significant negative linear anomalies in this data set. On this site it is believed that the responses are agricultural, relate to drainage features or a service trench.

Linear / curvi-linear anomalies (probable agricultural)

In many geological / pedological conditions agricultural features / regimes can produce magnetic anomalies due to the accumulation / alignment of magnetic topsoil. In most cases these are exhibited as a series of **broadly parallel positive linear** anomalies. The majority of these responses are associated with modern ploughing regimes but in some instances, where the responses are broader and more widely spaced, they can indicate the presence of the remnants of ridge and furrow.

Field drain systems can also produce linear anomalies, usually where the drains are made from fired ceramic or infilled with magnetic gravels.

Where a series of parallel anomalies are present then the approximate orientation of the anomalies are shown on the interpretation drawing to indicate the direction of the agricultural regime but for the sake of clarity individual anomalies have not been shown.

Individual anomalies may be shown if the response is not part of a regime.



Broad area of positive / negative responses

Broad areas of positive / negative responses can have a variety of causes. If the areas are generally quite large and irregular in shape then they are usually suggestive of natural features, such as lenses of sand and gravel deposits, palaeochannels or other natural features / variations where the natural material differs from the surrounding sub-surface. In some instances anomalies of this type can be associated with anthropogenic (usually modern) activity.

Linear / curvi-linear trends

An anomaly is categorised as a **trend** if it is not certain that the response is associated with an extant sub-surface feature. Trends are usually weak, irregular, diffuse or discontinuous and it is usually not certain what their cause is, if they represent significant sub-surface features or even if they are associated with definite features.

It is possible that some of the trends are associated with geological / pedological variations. Others may be produced by artificial constructs within the data, either caused by processing or in some instances by intersecting anomalies (usually different agricultural regimes) that give the appearance of curving or regular shapes. Many trends are a product of weak, naturally occurring responses that happen to form a regular pattern but which are not associated with a sub-surface feature.

In some instances former features that have been severely truncated can still produce broad, diffuse or weak responses even if the underlying feature has been removed. This is due to the presence of magnetic soils associated with the former feature still being present along its route. In other instances the magnetic properties of the soils filling a feature may vary and so the magnetic signature of the feature can change, even if the sub-surface feature itself remains uniform. If a response from a feature becomes significantly weak or diffuse then part of the anomaly may be shown as a trend as it is uncertain if the feature is still present or has been severely truncated or removed.

Isolated positive responses

Isolated positive responses can occur if the magnetism of a feature, area or material has been enhanced or if a feature is naturally more magnetic than the surrounding material. It is often difficult to determine which of these factors causes any given responses and so the origin of this type of anomaly can be difficult to determine. They can have a variety of causes including geological variations, infilled archaeological features, areas of burning (including hearths), industrial archaeological features, such as kilns, or deeper buried ferrous material and modern fired material.

The large number of isolated responses and lack of an obvious pattern to their distribution suggests that the majority anomalies are probably associated with geological / pedological variations. Only the larger or stronger areas of positive response have been shown on the interpretation.

Larger or stronger areas of positive response have been shown on the interpretation as have those isolated responses located in close proximity to possible or probable archaeological features. These anomalies could also be associated with geological / pedological variations but their size or proximity to other anomalies increases their archaeological potential.



Positive linear / curvi-linear anomalies

Positive magnetic anomalies indicate an increase in magnetism and if the resulting anomaly is linear or curvi-linear then this can indicate the presence of a man-made feature. **Positive or enhanced linear / curvi-linear** anomalies can be associated with agricultural activity, drainage features but they can also be caused by ditches that are infilled with magnetically enhanced material and as such can indicate the presence of archaeological features. Some natural infilled features can also produce positive anomalies.

- 1.5.2 Several different ranges of data were used in the interpretation to ensure that the maximum information possible is obtained from the data.
- 1.5.3 X-Y trace plots were examined for all of the data and overlain onto the greyscale plot to assist in the interpretation, primarily to help identify dipolar / bipolar responses that will probably be associated with surface / near-surface iron objects. X-Y trace plots have not been used in the report as they do not show any additional anomalies that are not visible in the greyscale data. A digital drawing showing the X-Y trace plot overlain on the greyscale plot has been provided in the digital archive.
- 1.5.4 All isolated responses have been assessed using a combination of greyscale and X-Y trace plots.
- 1.5.5 Anomalies associated with agricultural regimes are present in the data. The general orientation of these regimes has been shown on the interpretation but, for the sake of clarity, each individual anomaly has not been shown.
- 1.5.6 The greyscale plots and the accompanying interpretations of the anomalies identified in the magnetic data are presented as 2D AutoCAD drawings. The interpretation is made based on the type, size, strength and morphology of the anomalies, coupled with the available information on the site conditions. Each type of anomaly is displayed in separate, easily identifiable layers annotated as appropriate.

1.6 Limitations of magnetic surveys

- 1.6.1 The magnetic survey method requires the operator to walk over the site at a constant walking pace whilst holding the instrument. The presence of an uneven ground surface, dense, high or mature vegetation or surface obstructions may mean that some areas cannot be surveyed.
- 1.6.2 The depth at which features can be detected will vary depending on their composition, size, the surrounding material and the type of magnetometer used for the survey. In good conditions large, magnetic targets, such as buried drums or tanks can be located at depths of more than 4 m. Smaller targets, such as buried foundations or archaeological features can be located at depths of between 1 m and 2 m.
- 1.6.3 A magnetic survey is highly sensitive to interference from surface and near-surface magnetic 'contaminants'. Surface features such as metallic fencing, reinforced concrete, buildings or walls all have very strong magnetic signatures that can dominate readings collected adjacent to them. Identification of anomalies caused by sub-surface features is therefore more difficult or even not possible in the vicinity of surface and near-surface magnetic features.
- 1.6.4 The presence of made ground also has a detrimental effect on the magnetic data quality as this usually contains magnetic material in the form of metallic scrap and brick. Identification of features beneath made ground is still possible if the target feature is reasonably large and has a strong magnetic response but smaller features or magnetically weak features are unlikely to be identified.



- 1.6.5 It should be noted that anomalies that are interpreted as modern in origin may be caused by features that are present in the topsoil or upper layers of the subsoil. Removal of soil to an archaeological or natural layer can therefore remove the feature causing the anomaly.
- 1.6.6 A magnetic survey does not directly locate sub-surface features it identifies variations or anomalies in the local magnetic field caused by features. It can be possible to interpret the cause of anomalies based on the size, shape and strength of response but it should be recognised that a magnetic survey produces a plan of magnetic variations and not a plan of all sub-surface features. Interpretation of the anomalies is often subjective and it is rarely possible to identify the cause of all magnetic anomalies. Geological or pedological (soil) variations or features can produce responses similar to those caused by man-made (anthropogenic) features.
- 1.6.7 Anomalies identified by a magnetic survey are located in plan. It is not usually possible to obtain reliable depth information on the features that cause the anomalies.
- 1.6.8 Not all features will produce a measurable magnetic response and the effectiveness of a magnetic survey is also dependant on the site-specific conditions. It is not possible to guarantee that a magnetic survey will identify all sub-surface features. A magnetic survey is often most-effective at identifying sub-surface features when used in conjunction with other complementary geophysical techniques.

It should be noted that a geophysical survey does not directly locate sub-surface features it identifies variations or anomalies in the background response caused by features. The interpretation of geophysical anomalies is often subjective and it is rarely possible to identify the cause of all such anomalies. Not all features will produce a measurable anomaly and the effectiveness of a geophysical survey is also dependant on the site-specific conditions. The main factors that may limit whether a feature can be detected are the composition of a feature, its depth and size and the surrounding material. It is not possible to guarantee that a geophysical survey will identify all sub-surface features. Confirmation on the identification of anomalies and the presence or absence of sub-surface features can only be achieved by intrusive investigation.



www.bwbconsulting.com