

**Whitcher Wildlife Ltd.
Ecological Consultants.**



TINGLE BRIDGE LANE, HEMINGFIELD.

MAP REF: SE 39524 01230.

BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN REPORT.

Ref No: 240830/1.

Date: 2nd September 2025.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page Number
1. INTRODUCTION.	3
2. SURVEY METHODOLOGY.	4
3. SITE DESCRIPTION.	5
4. BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN ASSESSMENT.	9
5. REFERENCES.	11
Appendix I. ANNOTATED MAP OF THE SURVEY AREA.	12

1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. A planning application has been submitted for the development of Plot 1 on Tingle Bridge Lane with a new dwelling.

1.2. The Local Authority has asked for a Biodiversity Net Gain Report for the application.

1.3. Whitcher Wildlife Ltd was therefore commissioned to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain assessment of the site to satisfy the above request. This has been undertaken using the Statutory Biodiversity Metric as the most appropriate to assess the site.

1.4. A site visit was carried out on 7th August 2024 to gather any relevant baseline information.

1.5. The report has now been amended to suit a change of plan.


3. SITE DESCRIPTION.

3.1. The Survey Area.

3.1.1. The proposed development is located on the edge of the village of Hemingfield with existing dwellings north, south and west and with open grazing land to the east, as indicated by the white arrow on the aerial map below.



3.1.2. The aerial map below outlines the survey area. The site is an empty plot in an existing line of dwellings.



3.2.2.2. There is no condition assessment for this habitat.



3.2.3. g3 – Neutral grassland.

Secondary code 81 – Ruderal.

3.2.3.1. Along the northern and eastern sides of the site there is an area of dense vegetation. The predominant plant present is hedge bindweed (*Calystegia sepium*) and that creeps across much of the area. Also present are perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), cocksfoot (*Dactylis glomerata*), dock (*Rumex obtusifolius*), sow thistle (*Sonchus oleraceus*), fringed willowherb (*Epilobium ciliatum*), thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*), ragwort (*Jacobaea vulgaris*), camomile (*Chamaemelum nobile*), triangle orache (*Atriplex prostrata*), ribwort plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), nettle (*Urtica dioica*), great willow herb (*Epilobium hirsutum*), sun spurge (*Euphorbia helioscopia*) and Himalayan balsam (*Impatiens glandulifera*).

3.2.3.2. The most suitable habitat label is other neutral grassland with the secondary code 81, ruderals.



3.2.3.3. The condition assessment for this habitat is provided in the attached Statutory Biodiversity Metric Condition Assessments document. This habitat has a Poor result.

3.2.4. u1b5 – Built linear feature.

Secondary code: 612 – fence.

The site is surrounded by various types of fence, as shown below.



4. BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN ASSESSMENT.

4.1. Baseline Biodiversity Value.

The below tables demonstrate the baseline units of the development area, calculated using the Statutory Small Sites Metric.

Area habitats:

Habitat Type	Area (Ha)	Distinctiveness	Condition	Biodiversity units
Vacant or derelict land	0.0514	Low	Poor	0.10
Other Neutral grassland.	0.0167	Medium	Poor	0.07
Total	0.0627			0.17

4.2. Post Development Habitat Creation.

This development satisfies the requirements of the self-build exemption for Biodiversity Net Gain as outlined in the extract from the Gov.uk website below.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Self-build and custom build development. Development which:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• consists of no more than 9 dwellings, and• is carried out on a site which has an area no larger than 0.5 hectares, and• consists exclusively of dwellings which are self-build or custom housebuilding as defined in section 1(A1) of the Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015.

4.3. Biodiversity Net Gain Outcome.

4.3.1. There is no requirement to calculate post development biodiversity values.

4.3.2. Nevertheless, the site owner will wish to maximise the post development habitat on the site for his own benefit.

4.3.3. One integrated bat brick and two integrated swift nest bricks will be built into the new dwelling to satisfy the requirements of the NPPF.

Prepared by:	
Derek Whitcher. BSc, MCIEEM, MCMI	Date: 2 nd September 2025

Checked by:	
Ruth Georgiou BSc, MCIEEM.	Date: 2 nd September 2025

5. REFERENCES.

CIEEM (2017) *Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, 2nd edition*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

CIEEM (2017) *Guidelines on Ecological Report Writing*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

Natural England (2014) *Protected species and development: advice for local planning authorities*. (updated 2021) Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/protected-species-how-to-review-planning-applications> (Accessed: 05/03/2024).

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Available at <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69> (Accessed: 15/05/2024).

Bat Tree Habitat Key (2018) *Bat Roosts in Trees: a guide for identification and assessment for tree-care and ecology professionals*. Pelagic Publishing, Exeter

Collins J. (ed.) 2023. *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologist: Good Practice Guidelines (4th Edition)*. The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Mitchell-Jones, A.J. (2004) *Bat Mitigation Guidelines*. English Nature, Peterborough.

Reason, P.F. and Wray, S. (2023). *UK Bat Mitigation Guidelines: a guide to impact assessment, mitigation and compensation for developments affecting bats*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Ampfield.

Appendix I. ANNOTATED MAP OF THE SURVEY AREA PRE DEVELOPMENT.



Site: Tingle Bridge Lane, Hemmingfield

Date: 20.08.2024

Reference: 240830

Produced by: Whitcher hotdesk 2

