Land of Shaw Lane	1
Headline Results	l

	Habitat units	20.22
On-site baseline	Hedgerow units	7.52
	River units	0.00
	Habitat units	22.33
On-site post-intervention	Hedgerow units	8.29
(Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	River units	0.00
	Habitat units	10.43%
On-site net % change	Hedgerow units	10.20%
(Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	River units	0.00%
	Habitat units	0.00
Off-site baseline	Hedgerow units	0.00
	River units	0.00
	Habitat units	0.00
Off-site post-intervention	Hedgerow units	0.00
(Including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	River units	0.00
	Habitat units	2.11
Total net unit change	Hedgerow units	0.77
(including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	River units	0.00
	Habitat units	10.43%
Total on-site net % change plus off-site surplus	Hedgerow units	10.20%
(including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)	River units	0.00%

Return to results menu

Trading rules Satisfied?

Yes √

		Land of Shaw Lane A-1 Site Habitat Baseline											
	Condense / Show Co	lumns Condense / Show Rows											
_	Main Menu	Instructions											
ſ		Habitats and areas		Distinctivene	888	Condition	1	Strategic signif	icance			Ecological baseline	
Ref	Broad Habitat	Habitat Type	Ārea (hectares)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score	Strategic significance	Strategic significance	Strategic Significance multiplier	Suggested action to address habitat losses	Total habitat units	Ārea retain
1	Cropland	Cereal crops	7.11	Low	2	Condition Assessment N/A	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	Same distinctiveness or better habitat required ≥	14.22	
2	Sparsely vegetated land	Ruderal/Ephemeral	0.061	Low	2	Poor	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	Same distinctiveness or better habitat required ≥	0.12	
3	Urban	Artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface	0.021	V.Low	0	N/A - Other	0	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	Compensation Not Required	0.00	
4	Sparsely vegetated land	Ruderal/Ephemeral	0.358	Low	2	Moderate	2	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	Same distinctiveness or better habitat required ≥	1.43	
5	Lakes	Ponds (Non-Priority Habitat)	0.017	Medium	4	Moderate	2	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Same broad habitat or a higher distinctiveness habitat required	0.15	
6	Urban	Urban Tree	0.488	Medium	4	Moderate	2	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Same broad habitat or a higher distinctiveness habitat required	4.29	0.48
7													_
8													
9 10													-
11													_
		Total habita	tarea 8.06		-	•		•				20.22	0.4

Area Area retained enhanced	Baseline units retained	Baseline units			compensation		
	units units lost		Area habitat lost	Units lost	agreed for unacceptable losses	Assessor comments	Reviewer comments
	0.00	0.00	7.11	14.22			
	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.12		Passes Criteria 3 on condition sheet 21	
	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00		Passes Criteria 3 on condition sheet 21	
	0.00	0.00	0.36	1.43			
	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.15			
0.488	4.29	0.00	0.00	0.00			

Total area lost (excluding area of Urban trees and Green walls) 7.57

	Land of Shaw Lane A-2 Site Habitat Creation	-																				
Condense / Sh	ow Columns Condense / Show Rows	Ĩ																				
Main N	Aenu Instructions	Ĵ																				
										Post	development/ post int	ervention habitats										
			Distinctiv	REDE65	Cond	ition	Strategic signific		1		1		Temporal multiplier			<u> </u>	Difficulty multiplier	18		Hebitet	Co	nments
Broad Habitat	Proposed habitat	Area (hectares)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score	Strategic significance	Strategic significance	Strategic position multiplier	Standard time to target condition/years	Habitat created in advance/years	Delay in starting habitat creation/years	Standard or adjusted time to target condition	Final time to target condition/years	Final time to target multiplier	Standard difficulty of creation	Applied difficulty multiplier	Final difficulty of creation	Difficulty multiplier applied	Habitat units delivered	Assessor comments	Reviewer comments
Urban	Developed land; sealed surface	3.65	V.Low	o	N/A - Other	0	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	0	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	0	1.000	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Medium	0.67	0.00		
Grassland	Modified grassland	1.95	Low	2	Poor	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	o	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	3.76		
Urban	Introduced shrub	0.6	Low	2	Condition Assessment N/A	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	1.16		
Grassland	Modified grassland	0.55	Low	2	Poor	1	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	1	o	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	1.17		
Urban	Introduced shrub	0.33	Low	2	Condition Assessment N/A	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	o	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	0.64		
Urban	Sustainable urban drainage feature	0.29	Low	2	Poor	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	0	O	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Medium	Standard difficulty applied	Medium	0.67	0.37		
Heathland and shrub	Mixed scrub	0.11	Medium	4	Poor	1	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	1	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	0.47		
Urban	Rain garden	0.09	Low	2	Poor	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	0.17		
Urban	Urban Tree	3.34	Medium	4	Poor	1	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	10	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	10	0.700	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	10.29		
											-											
(Total habitat area	10.91			I					1	-	1							Total Units	18.03		

Site Area (Excluding area of Urban trees and Green walls) 7.57

		B-1 Site	Hedge Baseline	7																	
	Condense /	Show Columns	Condense / Show Rows	1																	
	Mair	ı Menu	Instructions																		
			UK Habitats - existing habitats		Habitat distinctiv	eness	Habitat cond	ition	Strategic signific	cance		Suggested action to	Ecological baseline		Retention of	ategory biod	iversity valu	e		Corr	uments
Baselin	e ref Hed	ige iber	Hedgerow type	Length (km)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score	Strategic significance	Strategic significance	Strategic position multiplier	address habitat losses	Total hedgerow units	Length retained	Length enhanced	Units retained	Units enhanced	Length lost	Units lost	Assessor comments	
1	1	L	Native Hedgerow	0.18	Low	2	Good	3	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Same distinctiveness band or better	1.19	0.18		1.19	0.00	0.00	0.00		
2	2	2	Native Hedgerow	0.22	Low	2	Good	3	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Same distinctiveness band or better	1.45	0.22		1.45	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	3	3	Native Hedgerow	0.24	Low	2	Moderate	2	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Same distinctiveness band or better	1.06	0.24		1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4	4	4 Nat	ve Hedgerow - Associated with bank or ditch	0.29	Medium	4	Good	3	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	Like for like or better	3.83	0.29		3.83	0.00	0.00	0.00		
5				0.93									7.52	0.93	0.00	7 52	0.00	0.00	0.00		
				0.83	1								1.54	0.85	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	

Reviewer comments	
Keviewer comments	

		B-2 Site Hedge Creation	7																				
Cond	dense / Show	r Columns Condense / Show Rows																					
	Main Me	nu Instructions	j i																				
		Proposed habitats		Habitat distin	ctiveness	Habitat	condition	Strategic significa	ance				Ter	iporal multiplier				Difficulty risk m	ultipliers			Ca	nments
Baseline ref	New hedge number	Habitat type	Length (km)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score	Strategic significance	Strategic significance	Strategic position multiplier	Standard Time to target condition/years	Habitat created in advance/years	Delay in starting habitat creation/years	Standard or adjusted time to target condition	Final time to target condition/years	Final time to target multiplier	Standard difficulty of creation	Applied difficulty multiplier	Final difficulty of creation	Difficulty multiplier applied	Hedge units delivered	Asseasor comments	Reviewer comments
1		Native Hedgerow	0.19	Low	2	Moderate	2	Location ecologically desirable but not in local strategy	Medium strategic significance	1.1	5	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	5	0.837	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	0.70		
2		Hedge Ornamental Non Native	0.07	V.Low	1	Poor	1	Area/compensation not in local strategy/ no local strategy	Low Strategic Significance	1	1	0	0	Standard time to target condition applied	1	0.965	Low	Standard difficulty applied	Low	1	0.07		
3																							
5																							
6																							
			0.26																		0.77		