

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

Land at Hoyland Common, Barnsley, S74 0EA

for

Newlands Developments Ltd

RCEF76268
Flood Risk Assessment
002
11 June 2020

REPORT

Quality Management

Version	Status	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
001	Draft for comment	Matthew Bell	Ola Holmstrom	Ola Holmstrom	22 May 2020
002	Report for Planning	Matthew Bell	Ola Holmstrom	Ola Holmstrom	11 Jun 2020

Approval for issue

Ola Holmstrom

22 May 2020

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use and benefit of our client and solely for the purpose for which it is provided. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by RPS Group Plc, any of its subsidiaries, or a related entity (collectively 'RPS') no part of this report should be reproduced, distributed or communicated to any third party. RPS does not accept any liability if this report is used for an alternative purpose from which it is intended, nor to any third party in respect of this report. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report.

The report has been prepared using the information provided to RPS by its client, or others on behalf of its client. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RPS shall not be liable for any loss or damage suffered by the client arising from fraud, misrepresentation, withholding of information material relevant to the report or required by RPS, or other default relating to such information, whether on the client's part or that of the other information sources, unless such fraud, misrepresentation, withholding or such other default is evident to RPS without further enquiry. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by the client or others on behalf of the client has been made. The report shall be used for general information only.

Prepared by:

Prepared for:

RPS Consulting Services Ltd

Newlands Developments Ltd

8 Exchange Quay
Salford, Greater Manchester M5 3EJ

T +44 161 786 8550

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT	6
	National Planning Policy.....	6
	Local Planning Policy	6
3	CONSULTATION	9
	Lead Local Flood Authority.....	9
	Environment Agency	9
	Yorkshire Water.....	10
	Internal Drainage Board	10
4	SITE SETTING AND DESCRIPTION	11
	Site Setting	11
	Site Description	11
	Surrounding Land Uses.....	11
	Topography	12
5	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.....	13
6	HYDROLOGICAL SETTING.....	14
	Nearby Watercourses.....	14
	Site Catchments	14
	Flood Risk Classification	15
	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.....	15
7	HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING.....	17
8	EXISTING DRAINAGE	18
	Drainage Infrastructure Catchment Observations.....	18
	Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council Highway Drains.....	18
	Yorkshire Water Sewers.....	18
9	FLOOD RISK AND MITIGATION	19
	Fluvial / Tidal Flooding	19
	Flooding from sewers	19
	Surface water flooding (overland flow)	19
	Groundwater flooding	20
	Other Sources	20
	Event Exceedence and Residual Risk	21
10	SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT.....	22
	Introduction.....	22
	Drainage Catchments.....	22
	Discharge Rates and Climate Change	23
	Drainage Hierarchy.....	23
	Consideration of Sustainable Drainage Systems	25
	Conceptual Surface Water Attenuation Scheme.....	26
	Maintenance of Sustainable Drainage Systems	26
	Treatment	26
	Event Exceedance.....	26
11	SEQUENTIAL TEST AND EXCEPTIONS TEST.....	28
	Sequential Test.....	28
	The Exception Test.....	28
12	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	29

Figures

- Figure 1** Site Observations
- Figure 2** Flood Map for Planning
- Figure 3** Long Term Surface Water Flood Map
- Figure 4** Long Term Reservoir Flood Map

Appendices

- Appendix A** Regulator Consultation
- Appendix B** Site Location Plan
- Appendix C** Topographic Survey
- Appendix D** Proposed Development Plans
- Appendix E** Existing Drainage Plans

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 RPS was commissioned to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment of Hoyland Common on behalf of Newlands Developments Limited in relation to the proposed development and in support of the hybrid planning application.
- 1.2 The aim of the Flood Risk Assessment is to outline the potential for the site to be impacted by flooding, the impacts of the proposed development on flooding in the vicinity of the site, and the proposed measures which could be incorporated into the development to mitigate the identified risk. The report has been produced in accordance with the guidance detailed in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Reference has also been made to the CIRIA SuDS manual (C753) and the Barnsley Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and the Barnsley Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS).
- 1.3 This report has been produced in consultation with the Environment Agency and Barnsley Metropolitan Council (BMC) who act as the Lead Local Flood Authority. The site is not located within an Internal Drainage Board District.
- 1.4 A Drainage Strategy Report (reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-D-RP-DS01, dated 11th June 2020) for the development has been produced and should be read in conjunction with this FRA. A summary of the drainage is included within the report.
- 1.5 The desk study has been undertaken with reference to information provided / published by the following bodies:
- Environment Agency (EA);
 - Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council (BMBC) who act as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA);
 - Yorkshire Water (YW)
 - Ordnance Survey (OS); and
 - British Geological Survey (BGS).
- 1.6 A site visit was conducted on 6th May 2020. Observations made during the site visit are discussed within this report.

2 PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

National Planning Policy

- 2.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was released in March 2012 and was updated in February 2019. The document advises of the requirements for a site specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for any of the following cases (Planning and Flood Risk paragraph 163 (footnote 50):
- 2.2 *“All proposals (including minor development and change of use) located within the Environment Agency designated floodplain, recognised as either Flood Zone 2 (medium probability) or Flood Zone 3 (high probability);*
- *All proposals greater than 1 ha in area located in Flood Zone 1 (low probability);*
 - *All proposals within an area which has critical drainage problems (as notified to the Local Planning Authority by the Environment Agency);*
 - *Land identified in a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment as being at increased flood risk in the future; and*
 - *Where proposed development may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use”.*
- 2.3 Paragraph 165 of the updated NPPF identifies that major developments should incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The systems used should:
- a. *“Take account of advice from the Lead Local Flood Authority;*
 - b. *Have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;*
 - c. *Have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation for the lifetime of the development; and*
 - d. *Where possible, provide multifunctional benefits”.*

Local Planning Policy

- 2.4 The Barnsley Local Plan was adopted on 3rd January 2019 and contains the following Policies relating to flood risk and drainage:

Policy CC3 Flood Risk

- 2.5 *“The extent and impact of flooding will be reduced by:*
- *Not permitting new development where it would be at an unacceptable risk of flooding from any sources of flooding, or would give rise to flooding elsewhere;*
 - *Ensuring that in the Functional Floodplain (Flood Zone 3b), only water compatible development or essential infrastructure (subject to the flood risk exception test) will be allowed. In either case it must be demonstrated that there would not be a harmful effect on the ability of this land to store floodwater;*
 - *Requiring developers with proposals in Flood Zones 2 and 3 to provide evidence of the sequential test and exception test where appropriate;*

- *Requiring site-specific Flood Risk Assessments (FRAs) for proposals over 1 hectare in Flood Zone 1 and all proposals in Flood Zones 2 and 3;*
- *Expecting proposals over 1000 m² floor space or 0.4 hectares in Flood Zone 1 to demonstrate how the proposal will make a positive contribution to reducing or managing flood risk; and*
- *Expecting all development proposals on brownfield sites to reduce surface water run-off by at least 30% and development on greenfield sites to maintain or reduce existing run-off rates requiring development proposals to use Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in accordance with policy CC4; and*
- *Using flood resilient design in areas of high flood risk”.*

Policy CC4 Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)

- 2.6 *“All major development will be expected to use Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to manage surface water drainage, unless it can be demonstrated that all types of SuDS are inappropriate.*
- 2.7 *The Council will also promote the use of SuDS on minor development.*
- 2.8 *To enable the Council to determine the suitability of a proposed SuDS scheme:*
- *Outline Planning applications must be supported by a conceptual drainage plan and SuDS design statement; and*
 - *Detailed Planning applications must be supported by a detailed drainage plan and SuDS design statement, which should contain information on how the SuDS will operate, be managed and maintained for the lifetime of the development”.*

Local Flood Risk Management Strategy

- 2.9 The Barnsley Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS) (dated December 2017) sets out the Council’s objectives with regard to managing flood risk within the Borough in order to:
- *“Promote appropriate development within and outside areas at risk of flooding;*
 - *Raise and maintain awareness of flooding;*
 - *Improve flood resilience in Barnsley;*
 - *Promote sustainability through all our flood risk work;*
 - *Embed BMBC’s role as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) into the wider Council service; and*
 - *[provide] An effective and supported recovery effort during and after a flood event”.*

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

- 2.10 The Barnsley Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) (dated September 2010) identifies and maps flood risk from all sources at a borough-wide scale as well as providing guidance on producing site specific FRAs. Relevant information from the SFRA has been referenced throughout this Flood Risk Assessment report.

2.11 Guidance from Detailed Flood Risk Guidance section from the SFRA indicates the following key messages:

- *“Primary sources (PPS25, PPS25 Practice Guide and CIRIA Report 624) should be used for FRAs and be supplemented by information in this SFRA;*
- *FRAs are required for all development proposals in Flood Zones 2 and 3 and also for developments over 1 ha in Flood Zone 1;*
- *Surface water drainage assessments are required as an integral part of the FRA;*
- *Demonstration that technically feasible flood risk mitigation options are available is required;*
- *Overtopping and breach of flood defences should be considered along with emergency access, egress and evacuation;*
- *FRAs should demonstrate that the development and it’s users and occupiers will remain safe in times of flood; and*
- *Functional floodplain should be considered as essential green infrastructure and safeguarded wherever possible.”*

3 CONSULTATION

Lead Local Flood Authority

3.1 The site is within the administrative boundary of Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council who act as the Lead Local Flood Authority have identified the following:

- *“BMBC would normally require a 30% climate change adjustment and do not require the 10% urban creep adjustment;*
- *Use of FSR rainfall data is acceptable to BMBC;*
- *It is currently BMBC policy to resist connection of flows from Development Sites into the Highway Drainage Network;*
 - *If it can be proven that connection to the Highway Drain is the only viable option then we would require:*
 - *Full CCTV survey of the Highway Drain to be submitted to the Highway Authority prior to consent being given*
 - *Any repairs deemed necessary by the Highway Authority to be carried out at the developer's expense.*
 - *Assessment of existing hydraulic capacity of the Highway Drain together with an assessment of flows into the drain in agreed storm intensities to prove capacity is available in the Drain for proposed flows to be carried out by the developer prior to consent being given”.*

3.2 The initial drainage plans for the site have been issued to the LLFA, who are generally agreeable with the proposals for the southern parcel of land.

Environment Agency

3.3 The Flood Risk Assessment has been produced in consultation with the Environment Agency. A preliminary opinion request has been submitted to the Environment Agency, who have provided the following response (included in full at Appendix A) with regard to flooding and surface water drainage:

- *“Based on our records, the proposed development is within an area identified as:*
 - *Flood zone 1 with a low probability of flooding from rivers and/or sea.*
 - *Bedrock aquifer – secondary A*
- *Based on the information currently available, the development raises no environmental concerns for the Agency. However, we do have the following advice.*

Surface Water

The Lead Local Flood Authority are responsible for providing advice on the management of surface water from new larger developments. Please consult them for their comments on your proposal.

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDs) should always be carefully considered in discussions with the Lead Local Flood Authority. However, any drainage system must not pose a risk to groundwater quality and must not be constructed in ground affected by contamination”.

Yorkshire Water

- 3.4 The public sewer network within the vicinity of the site is operated by Yorkshire Water.
- 3.5 A pre-development enquiry has been made to Yorkshire Water, who have identified that:
- *“Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), for example the use of soakaways and/or permeable hardstanding etc, may be a suitable solution for surface water disposal appropriate in this situation. You are advised to seek comments on the suitability of SuDS in this instance from the appropriate authorities;*
 - *As the proposed site is currently undeveloped no surface water is known to have previously discharged to the public sewer network;*
 - *As such, the local public sewer network does not have capacity to accept any surface water from the proposed site. If SuDS are not viable, the developer is advised to contact the Environment Agency/local Land Drainage Authority/Internal Drainage Board* with a view to establishing a suitable watercourse for discharge.*
 - *It is understood that a watercourse is located to the south of the site. This appears to be the obvious place for surface water disposal (if SuDS are not viable). Please note Yorkshire Water cannot provide plans of culverted watercourses or highway drains. To obtain plans please contact the Lead Local Flood Authority for more details”.*
- 3.6 YW also provided commentary regarding foul water drainage, however, this is discussed within the Drainage Design Philosophy. The full pre-development enquiry is included at Appendix A.

Internal Drainage Board

- 3.7 The site is not located within an Internal Drainage Board area. The nearest IDB is the Dearne and Dove IDD.

4 SITE SETTING AND DESCRIPTION

Site Setting

- 4.1 The site is located at National Grid Reference 435573, 399637. It is irregular in shape, occupying an area of approximately 52.43 hectares. A site location plan is provided at Appendix B.
- 4.2 The site is located to the southwest of the village of Hoyland Common and approximately 6 km south of Barnsley.
- 4.3 The site comprises two distinct parcels of land:
- One located to the south of Tankersley Road, with main portion of site occupying approximately 45.1 ha, for the purpose of this FRA is referred to as the southern parcel; and
 - One located to the north of Tankersley Lane, occupying approximately 7.33 ha, for the purpose of this FRA referred to as the northern parcel.

Site Description

- 4.4 The site comprises undeveloped greenfield and open pastureland.
- 4.5 Access to the site is via the A6135 Sheffield Road from the east and from the A61 from the north.
- 4.6 The site is bisected by Tankersley Lane as noted above
- 4.7 Reference to the MAGIC (Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside) Website indicates the site is not in or close to a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protection Area (SPA). There are no other environmentally sensitive sites (i.e RAMSAR sites, Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) within a 1 km radius of the site.

Surrounding Land Uses

- 4.8 The surrounding land use is summarised in Table 1.

Table 1 Summary of surrounding land uses

Direction	Land use
North	The site is bounded to the north by Birdwell Roundabout, the A61 and M1 junction to the northwest, beyond which is an area of commercial land and Hoyland Common to the northeast
South	The site is bounded to the south by agricultural land beyond this is a railway line
West	The site is bounded to the east by the M1 motorway

East

The site is largely bounded to the east by Sheffield Road (A6135) and agricultural land

Topography

- 4.9 Reference to OS mapping indicates a section of the site within the main part of the site is approximately 151 metres above ordnance datum (m AOD). The lowest part of the site is approximately 95 m AOD.
- 4.10 A topographic survey for the site has been completed and is included at Appendix C. The topographic survey identifies the following features at the site:

Southern Parcel

- The highest point on the site is located within the southern parcel of land at approximately 151 m AOD;
- From this point the majority of the southern parcel of land slopes to the southeast;
 - Levels along the southern boundary of the main portion of the site are between 131 m AOD and 135 m AOD to the north of Bell Ground (woodland);
 - Levels along the southern boundary of the site (north of the and associated with Farm Shop and adjoining the south-eastern corner of Bell Ground) are between 126 m AOD (at the Sheffield Road end and 118 m AOD at the Bell Ground end;
- Levels to the south of the main site are within the application boundary generally slop aware to the south to approximately 94 m AOD at the railway line.
- South of Tankersley Lane levels slope to the south from approximately 151 m AOD, and subsequently turn to the southeast.
- The area within the western portion of the site immediately south of Tankersley Lane slopes to the west from a level of approximately 146 m AOD to approximately 142 m AOD adjacent to the M1 slip road.

Northern Parcel

- The southern fields slope east to west from a level adjacent to Sheffield Road of approximately 150 m AOD to a level of 140 m AOD adjacent to the M1 junction.
- The northern fields slope away from Sheffield Road to the west and to the north, from a level of approximately 149 m AOD to a level between 142 m AOD and 144m AOD.

5 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

5.1 The proposed development comprises:

'Hybrid planning application for a development of employment uses (B1, B2 and B8), new link road, associated works including provision of internal access roads, drainage and landscaping

- a. *Outline with all matter reserved apart from means of access for up to 71,515 sq.m of employment uses (B1, B2, B8)*
- b. *Full application for 31,568 sq.m of B8, new link road including new roundabout to link with Hoyland south Masterplan Area (Local Plan references HS68, HS65, HS61, HS58, HS6, SL5).*

5.2 The proposed development plans are included at Appendix D.

5.3 Access to the site will be provided by the new link road to Plots 1 and 2 in the southern parcel of land and to the northern parcel of land. An access is also proposed to the northern parcel of land via Tankersley Lane.

5.4 The proposed development includes the development of earthworks plateaus within the site to provide platforms for the development construction. Approximate proposed levels are shown on the drainage plans included within the Drainage Strategy Report and in summary:

- Plot 1 – Building level approx. 144.50 m AOD;
- Plot 2 – 134.75 m AOD; and
- Plot 3 – 145.60 m AOD.

5.5 Final levels need to be confirmed through detailed cut and fill analysis.

5.6 Following completion of the development there will be an increase in hardstanding at the site from its current state. The proposed development will include approximately 35 Ha of hardstanding across Plots 1, 2 and 3. In addition to this the road will be wholly hardstanding.

5.7 The proposed use of the site is classified as 'Less Vulnerable' within the PPG.

5.8 A Drainage Design Philosophy has been produced for the site and is submitted with the application as a standalone document. A summary of the attenuation measures including discharge rates, consideration of the drainage hierarchy for discharge locations and types of SuDS features is included within Section 10. The acceptable discharge rate to the existing mains sewer will need to be agreed with Barnsley Council in consultation with the Environment Agency.

6 HYDROLOGICAL SETTING

Nearby Watercourses

- 6.1 Reference to Ordnance Survey Mapping indicates that the nearest surface water feature is Harley Dyke located approximately 250 m west of the site (beyond the M1 motorway) and about 500m south of the main development area for plots 1 and 2.
- 6.2 Harley Dyke is classed as an 'Ordinary Watercourse' which flows in a south easterly direction before passing beneath the railway line and subsequently flows to the east.
- 6.3 Harley Dyke flows into the River Dearne 7km downstream from Harley Dyke. The River Dearne is classed as a 'Main River' flows in a generally easterly direction and forms a confluence with River Don.
- 6.4 Two small drains have been identified and form part of the catchment of the watercourse known as Harley Dyke (which ultimately passes to the River Dearne).
- 6.5 No artificial watercourses / features (e.g. canals, reservoirs) have been identified within 1km of the site.

Site Catchments

- 6.6 The main development area for Plots 1 and 2 and the link road within the southern parcel of land generally form two catchments:
- The Eastern catchment collects approximately 26.1 hectares of the proposed development site and forms a tributary of Harley Dike down the eastern edge of the site in an existing 400 mm culvert. This passes beneath the railway line and ultimately into Harley Dyke. This can be seen on the plan included at Figure 1. Photograph 1 upstream of the Farm Shop shows the initial section of culvert on Figure 1.
 - Anecdotal evidence suggest that flooding occurs in the lower part of the catchment due to the undersized 400 mm culvert.
 - The Western catchment collects approximately 16.55 hectares of Hoyland West; this is currently funnelled into Harley Dike and can be seen on the plan included at Figure 1. This passes beneath the railway line in a 1200 mm culvert as shown in photograph 9.
- 6.7 The northern parcel of land forms the northern catchment and is split into two:
- The southern portion passing towards the Highways England drain or overland flow towards the M1; and
 - The northern portion passing towards the BMBC highways drain.
- 6.8 This can be seen on the plan included at Figure 1. Further information regarding these is included within Section 8 – Existing Drainage Infrastructure.
- 6.9 Off site to the south, the land is relatively densely wooded and has been manipulated by anthropogenic processes. It appears that a portion of surface water runoff from this area passes to the eastern catchment, whilst the remainder passes to the western catchment. This can also be seen based on the surface water flood mapping discussed below.

Flood Risk Classification

Fluvial Flooding

- 6.10 The EA's online Flood Map for Planning, included as Figure 2, indicates that the site is located within Flood Zone 1 whereby the annual probability of fluvial and/or tidal flooding is classified as less than 1 in 1,000.

Surface Water (Pluvial) Flooding

- 6.11 Reference to the Long Term Surface Water Flood Map, included as Figure 3, indicates the site is predominantly shown to have a 'very low' (flooding occurring as a result of a rainfall with less than 1 in 1,000 chance in any given year) risk of surface water flooding.
- 6.12 Within the site there are some areas at an elevated risk of surface water flooding. These appear to be limited to low points within the site and route along drainage ditches. There are no surface water flows paths indicated to pass through from upstream areas through the site (i.e. they are indicated to be generated within the site).
- During a high risk event (flooding occurring as a result of rainfall with a greater than 1 in 30 chance in any given year), surface water flooding is indicated within a small area towards the northern corner of the southern parcel of land and within the northmost area of the northern parcel of land (adjacent to the A61 Birdwell roundabout).
 - Away from the main parcels of land, a larger surface water flooding area is indicated off site to the south, immediately adjacent to the railway line.
 - In addition, a surface water ponding area is identified to the northwest of the wooded area located south east of the Bell Ground.
 - In addition to the areas mentioned above, a small area of 'medium' risk (flooding occurring as a result of rainfall of between 1 in 100 and 1 in 30 chance in any given year) is shown along the open section of the eastern catchment drain passing through the site. The other areas mentioned are shown to increase in size.
 - During and 'low' risk (flooding occurring as a result of rainfall of between 1 in 1,000 and 1 in 100 chance in any given year) surface water flooding is shown to increase within the areas noted during the medium and high risk events. A small flow path is shown to encroach into the eastern area of the site from the adjacent allotments.
- 6.13 To the south of the site, surface water is shown to follow the topography and route around physical barriers observed during the site walkover. Essentially, a portion to the east of the high ground falls towards the eastern catchment, whilst a portion from Bell Ground is routed around the woodland to the south of Bell Ground and towards the western catchment.

Reservoir Flooding

- 6.14 Reference to the Long Term Reservoir Flood Map, included as Figure 4 indicates the site is located within an area that would not be impacted by flooding in the event of reservoir failure.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

- 6.15 The Barnsley Strategic Flood Risk Assessment SFRA was published in September 2010 and identifies and maps flood risk from all sources at a borough-wide scale as well as providing guidance on producing site specific FRAs. Relevant information from the Level 1 SFRA is summarised below:
- Flood zone mapping indicates the site is located entirely within Flood Zone 1. The SFRA identifies that this area comprises Flood Zone 1 which comprises land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1000 annual probability of river and sea flooding in any year (<0.1%)
 - Surface water mapping identifies some flood extent along the route as Harley Dyke similar to the surface water flood risk as identified on the Long Term Surface Water Flood Map, however does not indicate the pond area and route along the eastern catchment;
 - The site is shown to not be impacted by tidal flooding;
 - The site is shown to not be located within a Critical Drainage Area (CDA);

7 HYDROGEOLOGICAL SETTING

- 7.1 Reference to BGS online mapping (1:50,00 scale) does not indicate the presence of any superficial deposits underlying the site. The site is shown to be wholly underlain by bedrock deposits from the Pennine Middle Coal Measures Formation – which is described as Mudstone, Siltstone and Sandstone.
- 7.2 During the site visit it was observed that the surface soils appeared to be cohesive in nature.
- 7.3 It is understood that a site-specific site investigation has been undertaken. At the time of writing draft information (Applied Geology, reference AG3030 -19-AK21) has been made available and indicates:
- In the opencast backfill north of Tankersley Lane, groundwater levels were measured between 1.85 and 4.5m bgl (144.9 to 143.3mOD).
 - In the opencast backfill south of Tankersley Lane, groundwater levels were measured between 2.80m and 'dry' (>7m) bgl (149.6 to below 144.5mOD).
 - Groundwater levels in the bedrock were measured at between 0.86m and 9.88m bgl (146.9 to 116.8mOD) broadly mirroring topography but with some deeper levels in the deeper Coal Measures strata.
- 7.4 Reference to BGS Borehole records indicates that there are several borehole logs within the site mostly which are labelled as confidential and restricted.
- A single borehole log (reference: SK39NE376), is accessible online and has been undertaken within the site adjacent to the western boundary of the southern parcel, adjacent to the M1 motorway;
 - the borehole log taken in 1999 at coordinates 435290, 399572, indicates that the first 0.8m depth of ground comprises topsoil initially over Made Ground (grey sandy clay) and then weathered Mudstone to a depth of 1.4m where the borehole records ends;
 - No mention ground water is given within the record; and
 - Reference to further BGS borehole (reference SK30NE69) located east of the northern parcel of land, adjacent Hoyland Common Primary School, was advanced to 3.0 m bgl, and confirms the presence of Made Ground, over firm mottled Clay, over light grey Mudstone (slightly weathered).
- 7.5 Reference to the MAGIC website indicates the underlying Pennine Middle Coal Measures Formation is classified as a Secondary A Aquifer. These are permeable layers capable of supporting water supplies at local rather than strategic scale and in some cases form an important source of base flow to rivers.
- 7.6 Reference to the MAGIC website indicates the site is not located within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.

8 EXISTING DRAINAGE

Drainage Infrastructure Catchment Observations

8.1 As discussed within Section 6, the site has 3 main catchments, north, east and west, and a number of outfalls are existing from these catchments. The catchments and main outfalls from the east and west catchments are discussed in Section 6. The catchments to existing infrastructure associated with the northern catchment are discussed below:

- Highway Drain – this appears to link to the Birdwell Roundabout Attenuation built for the road and collects the field in a perimeter French drain from the northern portion of the northern parcel of land (north of Tankersley Lane) (further details below);
- Motorway Drain – this appears to be a low point collecting water from the southern portion of the northern parcel of land (north of Tankersley Lane) but no outfall could be seen in the dense vegetation but did show signs of ponding water;
- Motorway Drain – this appears to be a low ditch discharging towards the motorway but no evidence how it connects; and
- Motorway Sheet flow – this field also has a low point that sheet flows water onto the motorway that has a series of gullies to collect it.

8.2 In addition to the above, an agricultural drain was observed along the boundary of the small woodland to the southeast of Bell Ground. This passes long the western boundary of the woodland, across the Tran Pennine Trail and across the agricultural field towards the western catchment culvert beneath the railway line. Upstream of the small woodland, and within Bell Ground this drainage route appears to comprises a series of unmaintained drains, culvert crossings and additional piped runs.

Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council Highway Drains

8.3 BMBC have provided highway drainage plans for the area located to the north of the northern parcel of land. These are provided at Appendix E and summarised below:

- French drain located along boundary of the site between the site and the Birdwell Roundabout. This is indicated to connect at manhole CP3 and appears to pass forward towards to the highway drain below (additional survey works being undertaken to confirm);
- Combined kerb and drainage block located along the road on the western side of the parcel of land, passing to a 225 mm drain, and subsequently discharging, via a 375 mm drain at the last manhole, into a detention basin located within the centre of Birdwell Roundabout.
 - This detention basin is subsequently shown to pass to the northeast;
- Combined kerb and drainage block located along the road on the northern side of the parcel of land (around 'Roundabout 1' on the BMBC plan, passing to a 300 mm drain, and subsequently discharging, via a 375 mm drain at the last manhole (as noted above), into a detention basin located within the centre of Birdwell Roundabout.

Yorkshire Water Sewers

8.4 Reference to Yorkshire Water plans of public sewers (shown in Appendix E) indicates that there are no surface water sewers within Sheffield Road located to the east of the site or within Tankersley Lane shown to bisect the site.

9 FLOOD RISK AND MITIGATION

- 9.1 The key sources of flooding that could potentially impact the site are discussed below:

Fluvial / Tidal Flooding

- 9.2 The Environment Agency Flood Map, included as Figure 2, indicates that the site is located entirely within Flood Zone 1 whereby the land is classified as having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of fluvial flooding.
- 9.3 Based on the proposed development being located within Flood Zone 1, there would be no obstruction to fluvial flood flow routes and no requirement to provide floodplain compensation. Access and egress would be available to the site from the north and to the east via the proposed access routes.

Proposed Mitigation

- 9.4 Based on the above assessment the risk of fluvial flooding is considered to be low, therefore no mitigation is considered necessary.

Flooding from sewers

- 9.5 Sewer flooding can occur during periods of heavy rainfall when a sewer becomes blocked or is of inadequate capacity. The site is currently undeveloped and as such there are no Yorkshire Water sewers present.
- 9.6 There are known field and perimeter drains within parts of the site, namely the field drain running from Bell Ground and discharging into Harley Dyke north of the railway line and the Highways drainage taking run-off from the northern parcel of land, as described in the sections above.
- 9.7 The existing formal drainage within the site is located downstream of the development and the development proposal would ultimately reduce the flow rates passing to these features.

Proposed Mitigation

- 9.8 Based on the above the risk of flooding from sewers is considered to be low, therefore no mitigation is required for the proposed development.
- 9.9 As part of the development on site drainage infrastructure will be implemented. In order to prevent the potential for flooding in the event this infrastructure surcharges, it is recommended that either buildings are raised up slightly compared to external ground levels or external ground levels are graded away from the buildings.

Surface water flooding (overland flow)

- 9.10 This can occur during intense rainfall events when water cannot soak into the ground or enter drainage systems. The Long Term Surface Water Flood Map indicates the site predominantly has a 'very low' risk of surface water flooding.
- 9.11 There are some areas of low to high risk of surface water flooding within the site, as discussed within Section 6, however, these are primarily limited to ponding areas, and low points along short sections of open channel. These flow paths generally start within the site and do not appear to constitute

surface water flow paths through the site. A small flow path is shown to encroach into the eastern area of the site from the adjacent allotments during the low risk event.

9.12 To the south of the site, surface water is shown to follow the topography and route around physical barriers observed during the site walkover. Essentially, a portion to the east of the high ground falls towards the eastern catchment, whilst a portion from Bell Ground is routed around the woodland to the south of Bell Ground and towards the western catchment.

9.13 Surface water flooding from on-site sources is considered in Section 10 of this report.

Proposed Mitigation

9.14 Based on the above, the risk of surface water flooding is considered to be low.

9.15 Notwithstanding, floor levels of the proposed development will be altered from the existing ground and mitigation including a dedicated surface water drainage network will provide for reducing the potential for the ingress of water in the event of surface water flooding. The drainage for the site includes an allowance for the small flow path from the adjacent allotments

9.16 It is recommended that either buildings are raised up slightly compared to external ground levels or external ground levels are graded away from the buildings.

Groundwater flooding

9.17 This can occur in low-lying areas when groundwater levels rise above surface levels, or within underground structures. BGS mapping indicates the site is shown to not be underlain by any superficial deposits. The site is shown to be wholly underlain by bedrock deposits from the Pennine Middle Coal Measures Formation.

9.18 The Barnsley SFRA identifies that the nearest location to the site which has potential to exhibit groundwater flooding in Hoyland is the Millhouses area which is approximately 2.40km east of the site.

9.19 There are no basements proposed for the development. The development proposal will include a large earthworks program including cut and fill. It should be noted that large areas at the southern end of the site will be significantly raised compared to current levels, whilst the highest points at the site will be lowered. There is potential that shallow or perched groundwater could be encountered at the site.

9.20 It is recommended that a detailed site investigation and seasonal groundwater monitoring is undertaken in order to confirm the depths to groundwater.

Proposed Mitigation

9.21 Based on the above, the risk of groundwater flooding is considered to be low therefore at this stage no mitigation is considered to be necessary. This position should be further considered upon conclusion of the site investigation program and finalisation of levels for the site.

Other Sources

9.22 There is a limited risk of flooding occurring as a result of a break in a water main, however, these are likely to be limited within the vicinity of the site. In the event of a burst of such main, water would likely take a preferential pathway along highway routes.

- 9.23 Reference to the Long Term Reservoir Flood Map, included as Figure 4, indicates the site is located within an area that would not be impacted by flooding in the event of reservoir failure.
- 9.24 There will be no increase in flood risk from sources such as water mains, canals or other artificial water bodies as a result of the development.

Proposed Mitigation

- 9.25 Based on the above, the risk of flooding from artificial sources is considered to be low.
- 9.26 It is recommended that either buildings are raised up slightly compared to external ground levels or external ground levels are graded away from the buildings.

Event Exceedence and Residual Risk

- 9.27 The mitigation measures proposed as part of the development scheme are considered appropriate to mitigate against any residual risks or event exceedence scenarios.

10 SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT

Introduction

- 10.1 The development primarily comprises construction of commercial units along with a new link road on an area of previously undeveloped land. Therefore, as a result of the development proposal there will be an increase in hardstanding within the site.
- 10.2 A Drainage Strategy Report document has been produced by RPS reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-XX-D-RP-DS01, dated 11th June 2020, to support the planning application for Plots 1, the link road (southern parcel of land) and the outline application for Plots 2 and 3 (the northern parcel of land). The Drainage Strategy Report provides full details of the surface water drainage strategy for the site and should be read in conjunction with this FRA. This section of the FRA discusses the surface water drainage strategy in terms of flood risk catchments and inclusion of SuDS option and refers to the aforementioned document.
- 10.3 In addition to the above, a separate standalone document has been produced to support the application relating to Plot 1 only. The Drainage Design Philosophy produced by RPS, reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-XX-RP-D-1030, dated June 2020, details the drainage for Plot 1 freely discharging into the drainage system for the wider site covered in the report above. This drainage strategy should also be read in conjunction with this FRA.
- 10.4 Consultation with the LLFA (BMBC) has been undertaken, and is discussed in Section 3, with correspondence included at Annex A. In summary:
- *“BMBC would normally require a 30% climate change adjustment and do not require the 10% urban creep adjustment;*
 - *Use of FSR rainfall data is acceptable to BMBC;*
 - *It is currently BMBC policy to resist connection of flows from Development Sites into the Highway Drainage Network;*
 - *If it can be proven that connection to the Highway Drain is the only viable option then we would require:*
 - *Full CCTV survey of the Highway Drain to be submitted to the Highway Authority prior to consent being given*
 - *Any repairs deemed necessary by the Highway Authority to be carried out at the developer's expense.*
 - *Assessment of existing hydraulic capacity of the Highway Drain together with an assessment of flows into the drain in agreed storm intensities to prove capacity is available in the Drain for proposed flows to be carried out by the developer prior to consent being given”.*

Drainage Catchments

- 10.5 As discussed in Section 6 and Section 8 there are three main catchments to which the current topography of the site falls:
- The Eastern catchment collects approximately 26.1 hectares of the proposed development site and connects to the Harley Dike down the eastern edge of the site in an existing Culvert. This can be seen on the plan included at Figure 1.

- It is not proposed to re-utilise this outfall, but instead, runoff within this catchment will be diverted to other catchments within the site, as discussed below.
- The Western catchment collects approximately 16.55 hectares of the site; this is currently funnelled into Harley Dike and can be seen on the plan included at Figure 1.
- As this outfall is proposed to be the primary outfall for the development, greenfield runoff calculations have been done, to ensure that proposed runoff rates resulting from the development are not greater than those currently experienced from the existing contributing catchment.
- The Northern catchment is split into two outfalls, both appearing to connect to Highway agency drains, as discussed in Section 8. At this stage it is likely that this catchment will retain one outfall, and further survey works are ongoing to confirm this approach.

Discharge Rates and Climate Change

- 10.6 Based on the information above, discharge rates have been calculated using the HR Wallingford greenfield run-off rate estimate for sites, for the catchment areas currently falling to the respective discharge locations, in order not to increase discharge rates to the receptors. The discharge rates have been calculated as follows:
- For the southern parcel of land the QBAR discharge rate has been calculated as 84.2 l/s.
 - For the northern parcel of land the QBAR discharge rate has been calculated as 20.3 l/s.
- 10.7 Based on discharging at QBAR rates for the hardstanding areas proposed, there will be an improvement in the rate of discharge for event from QBAR to the 1 in 100 year plus 30% allowance for climate change.
- 10.8 The proposed development comprises the construction of commercial properties. Generally, this type of development is considered to have a design life of 60 years. Therefore, for the purposes of this assessment, taking into account the requirements of BMBC a 30% increase in peak rainfall intensity has been included as climate change allowance.

Drainage Hierarchy

- 10.9 The NPPF (paragraph 80) identifies the hierarchy for discharge of surface water to be considered, as reasonably practicable:
- Into the ground (infiltration);
 - To a surface water body;
 - To a surface water sewer, highway drain, or another drainage system;
 - To a combined sewer.
- 10.10 The guidance notes that '*particular types of sustainable drainage systems may not be practicable in all locations*'.
- 10.11 The following surface water drainage discharge hierarchy is required:

Disposal by Infiltration

- 10.12 As discussed in Section 7, the site is underlain by the Middle Pennine Coal Measures Strata, which are described as comprising mudstone, siltstone and sandstone.

10.13 During the site walkover it was noted that the surface soils appeared to be cohesive in nature. Review of the Soilsclapes website (<http://www.landis.org.uk/soilsclapes/>) indicates that the soils beneath the site are 'slowly permeable, seasonally wet' and with '*impeded drainage*'.

10.14 Based on the large built and hardstanding footprint along with the poor soils of infiltration it is considered that infiltration is not a suitable method for dispose of surface water at the site.

Discharge to Surface Watercourse

10.15 At this stage, the southern catchment encompassing the area of Plots 1 and 2 and the proposed road, the most suitable method of discharge of surface water from the site is to a surface watercourse at a controlled discharge rate. Based on the information noted above (paragraphs 10.5-10.8), it is considered that the most feasible option is to discharge to the western catchment, located to the south of the site, at the QBAR discharge rate for the area of the site currently passing this direction. This will seek to mimic the natural catchment depicted by topographic contours across the western catchment, albeit controlling flows for larger events.

10.16 It should be noted that additional flows would pass into this watercourse, however, at a much lower rate than could currently occur. The western and eastern catchments merge to the south of the railway line and as such, the flows entering the downstream catchment would be unaltered, with the exception of the controlled discharge rate.

10.17 Based on the hydrological setting of the northern parcel (land north of Tankersley Lane) there are no direct surface watercourse receptors, therefore discharge to such features cannot be considered further.

Discharge to Surface Water Sewer, Highway Drain or another Drainage System

10.18 No Yorkshire Water surface water sewers are located within the vicinity of the site.

10.19 The next alternative drainage system is the BMBC highways drainage system located at Birdwell Roundabout. This is described in Section 8, but in summary comprises:

- French drain located along the field boundary;
- The French drain connected to the highway drain; and
- Subsequently passes to a detention basin within Birdwell Roundabout.

10.20 Based on the absence of an alternative solution higher up the drainage hierarchy it is considered that this would be the best solution for the northern parcel of land.

10.21 We would note that there are Highways England drains located adjacent to the western boundaries of the northern parcel of land however, this is not considered to be a viable solution for discharge of surface water from the site.

Discharge to Combined Sewer

10.22 No combined sewers suitable for taking the discharge from the are located within close proximity. Therefore, this option as not been considered further at this stage.

Consideration of Sustainable Drainage Systems

- 10.23 The potential for the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) has been considered at this stage.

Soakaways

- 10.24 As noted above and discussed in Section 7, the site is underlain by the Middle Pennine Coal Measures Strata, which are described as comprising mudstone, siltstone and sandstone. During the site walkover it was noted that the surface soils appeared to be cohesive in nature.
- 10.25 In addition, based on a review of the Soilscales website (<http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscales/>) indicates that the soils beneath the site are 'slowly permeable, seasonally wet' and with '*impeded drainage*'.
- 10.26 Given the reported geological conditions beneath the site, it is considered that soakaways are unlikely to provide a feasible method for the disposal of surface water runoff from the site.

Swales, detention basins and ponds

- 10.27 Due to the nature of the proposed development it is proposed to include attenuation basins within the site to provide surface water attenuation. It is proposed to locate this feature to the south of the southern parcel of land. .

Rainwater Harvesting

- 10.28 The attenuation benefits provided through the use of rainwater harvesting are considered to be limited and would only be realised when the tanks are not full. Such features may be suitable to meet the needs of all future tenants, and as such their consideration could be revisited at the detailed design stage on a plot by plot basis.
- 10.29 It is understood that Plot 1 proposed to incorporate a rainwater harvesting tank and this is discussed in the Drainage Design Philosophy specific to that plot reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-XX-RP-D-1030, dated June 2020.

Green Roofs

- 10.30 Based on the scale and nature of the development it is unlikely that green roofs will be suitable for use at the site. The buildings will comprise large span (likely pitched roofs) and as such would represent a future maintenance and health and safety liability.

Porous / Permeable Paving

- 10.31 At this stage it is considered that the car parking areas could be considered further for the use of porous paving at the detailed design stage.
- 10.32 The large service yards for the units would not likely be to suitable for porous paving due to them heavily trafficked areas and used for turning of HGVs.

Modular Underground Attenuation Tanks

- 10.33 At this stage it is not proposed that attenuation tanks will be required by the southern parcel of land at the site.
- 10.34 The northern parcel of land may need to consider the use of underground attenuation tank at the detailed design stage. Based on the potential for varying circumstances, such as increased hardstanding, reduced potential for above ground surcharging the total volume of underground storage will need to be considered as part of the detailed drainage design.

Conceptual Surface Water Attenuation Scheme

- 10.35 It is proposed that surface water run-off associated with the development proposal will be positively drained via an underground piped drainage network.
- 10.36 The drainage network will convey the surface water to attenuation pond for the southern parcel of land, and subsequently discharge to the Harley Dyke, at a controlled discharge rate of 82.4 l/s.
- 10.37 The drainage network will convey the surface water to attenuation within the northern parcel of land and subsequently discharge to the highway drain, at a controlled discharge rate of 20.3 l/s.
- 10.38 On the basis of the calculations above:
- a total attenuation volume of 31,525 m³ will be required to achieve the required discharge rate for the southern parcel of land (it should be noted that this drainage system also makes an allowance for future plots of land separate to this application and further details are provided within the Drainage Strategy Report); and
 - a total attenuation volume of 5,000 m³ will be required to achieve the required discharge rate for the northern parcel of land (Plot 3). Note – this will need to be further defined at the detailed design stage.
- 10.39 It should be noted that appropriate consideration should be given to the design of the attenuation basins with regard to the Reservoir Act 1975 at the detailed design stage, with the attenuation ponds being designed and engineered accordingly.

Maintenance of Sustainable Drainage Systems

- 10.40 As described in CIRIA C753 (the SuDS Manual, 2015), regular inspection and maintenance will be required following construction to allow effective operation of the proposed attenuation. A SuDS Maintenance Plan for the features highlighted above is included within the Drainage Strategy Report (reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-XX-D-RP-DS01, dated 11th June 2020).

Treatment

- 10.41 A treatment plan for the features highlighted above is included in the Drainage Strategy Report (reference HOYLA-RPS-SI-XX-D-RP-DS01, dated 11th June 2020).

Event Exceedance

- 10.42 The proposed indicative surface water drainage concept provides storage up to the 1 in 100 year plus climate change event. In an event exceeding this magnitude, detailed drainage design will identify mitigation measures to ensure that the resulting above-ground flooding will be confined to

temporary shallow flooding of the on-site road network and will not affect the buildings on site or significantly increase flood risk to off-site locations.

- 10.43 Event exceedance planning will be undertaken as part of the final design process. Suitable mitigation measures will be incorporated into the development to ensure water is retained on-site should surcharging of on-site drains occur during extreme rainfall events.

11 SEQUENTIAL TEST AND EXCEPTIONS TEST

Sequential Test

- 11.1 The NPPF requires the Local Authority to apply the Sequential Test in consideration of new development. The aim of the test is steer new development to areas at the lowest probability of flooding. Given that the subject site has not been allocated as one of the Council's proposed future development site, it has not been specifically assessed within the SFRA. Therefore, the Sequential Test is based on the EA's online Flood Map for Planning and information contained within the SFRA.
- 11.2 The proposed development is wholly located within Flood Zone 1 and is considered to have a low risk of flooding from all other sources. Some limited areas at a slightly higher of surface water flooding are indicated within the site, however, these will be managed by the sites drainage system.
- 11.3 The site is therefore considered to meet the requirements of the Sequential Test.

The Exception Test

- 11.4 According to Table 3 of the PPG, 'Less Vulnerable' developments are considered appropriate within Flood Zone 1 without the requirement to apply the Exception Test. Therefore, application of the Exception Test is not required for the proposed development.

12 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 12.1 The aim of the Flood Risk Assessment is to outline the potential for the site to be impacted by flooding, the potential impacts of the development on flooding both onsite and in the vicinity, and the proposed measures which can be incorporated into the development to mitigate the identified risks. The report has been produced in accordance with the guidance detailed in the NPPF and in consultation with the EA and LLFA. Reference has also been made to the BMBC SFRA and the CIRIA SuDS Manual (C753).
- 12.2 The potential flood risks to the site, and the measures proposed to mitigate the identified risks, are summarised in the table below:


Source of flooding	Identified Risk			Mitigation proposed	Residual risk		
	L	M	H		L	M	H
Fluvial	✓			No mitigation considered necessary	✓		
Tidal	✓			No mitigation considered necessary	✓		
Sewers	✓			It is recommended that either buildings are raised up slightly compared to external ground levels or external ground levels are graded away from the buildings.	✓		
Surface Water	✓	✓		It is recommended that either buildings are raised up slightly compared to external ground levels or external ground levels are graded away from the buildings.	✓		
Groundwater		✓		It is recommended at the detailed site investigation and seasonal monitoring us undertaken to confirm depths to groundwater	✓	✓	
Other Sources (e.g. reservoirs, water mains)	✓			No mitigation is considered necessary	✓		


- 12.3 The site is located within Flood Zone 1 and is therefore considered to have a low risk of fluvial flooding.
- 12.4 A Drainage Strategy Report has been produced as a separate document and is referred to within this report. In summary:

- Surface water attenuation will be provided in the form of an above ground attenuation pond, limited to QBAR discharge rate, for the southern parcel of land and will discharge to Harley Dyke; and
- For the northern parcel of land surface water will be restricted to the QBAR discharge rate and will likely pass to the BMBC highways asset (subject to survey and final design).

12.5 It is therefore considered that the proposed development will be safe throughout its lifetime and maintains surface water run-off rates in line with local and national policy requirements.

FIGURES





© 2020 RPS Group

A

FOR INFORMATION

REV

DESCRIPTION

MC

MB

04.06.20

BY

CB

DATE

rps

MAKING
COMPLEX
EASY

8 Exchange Quay, Salford,
Manchester, M5 3EJ
T: 0161 786 8550 E: rpsgmr@rpsgroup.com

Client

Project **HOYLAND**

Title **FLOOD MAP - SURFACE WATER**

Status
FOR INFORMATION

Drawn By
MC

PM/Checked by
MB

Project Number
RCEF7



Scale @ A1
NTS

Date Created
04.06.2020

RPS Drawing / Figure Number
D001

Rev
A

rpsgroup.com



A	FOR INFORMATION	MC	MB	04.06.20
REV	DESCRIPTION	BY	CB	DATE



8 Exchange Quay, Salford,
Manchester, M5 3EJ
T: 0161 786 8550 E: rpsgrm@rpsgroup.com

Client

Project **HOYLAND**

Title **FLOOD MAP - FLUVIAL**

Status	Drawn By	PM/Checked by
FOR INFORMATION	MC	MB
Project Number	Scale @ A1	Date Created
RCEF7	NTS	04.06.2020
RPS Drawing / Figure Number	Rev	
D001	A	
rpsgroup.com		

APPENDICES

Appendix A

Regulator Consultation

Mr Matthew Bell
RPS Group
8 Exchange Quay
Salford
Lancashire
M5 3EJ

Our ref: RA/2020/141646/01-L01
Your ref: RCEF72268
Date: 04 June 2020

Dear Mr Bell

Proposal: HYBRID PLANNING APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT OF EMPLOYMENT USES (B1, B2 AND B8), NEW LINK ROAD, ASSOCIATED WORKS INCLUDING PROVISION OF INTERNAL ACCESS ROADS, DRAINAGE AND LANDSCAPING

Location: LAND AT HOYLAND COMMON, BARNSELEY S74 0EA

Thank you for your recent enquiry regarding the above proposed development dated 28 May 2020.

Having reviewed your proposal we are providing you with a preliminary opinion, which outlines the key environmental issues within our remit that are relevant to your proposal and provides guidance on any actions you need to undertake. It also provides hyperlinks to where you can obtain further information and advice to help support your planning application.

We offer one free preliminary opinion per site, per applicant / developer. If you would like further advice, we would be pleased to offer this through our charged for service. Further details can be found at the end of this letter.

Environmental Constraints

Based on our records, the proposed development is within an area identified as:

- Flood zone 1 with a low probability of flooding from rivers and/or sea.
- Bedrock aquifer – secondary A

Based on the information currently available, the development raises no environmental concerns for the Agency. However, we do have the following advice.

Technical Advice

Surface Water

The [Lead Local Flood Authority](#) are responsible for providing advice on the management of surface water from new larger developments. Please consult them for their comments on your proposal.

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDs) should always be carefully considered in discussions with the Lead Local Flood Authority. However, any drainage system must not pose a risk to groundwater quality and must not be constructed in ground affected by contamination.

Foul Water Drainage

New development should connect to the public mains sewer, wherever possible. You should contact the relevant water company about connecting to their mains sewerage system.

Fisheries and Biodiversity

We would encourage opening up of culverts, improvement/naturalisation/creation of new watercourses, and the provision of other environmental infrastructure that would provide wider biodiversity benefits and help deliver Water Framework Directive (WFD) improvements.

The Government introduced the Environment Bill in January 2020 to ensure that the overall impact from development on the environment is positive. The Bill includes measures to strengthen local government powers in relation to net gain and a minimum requirement of 10% biodiversity net gain. Chapter 15 and Paragraphs 170, 171, 174 & 175 of [Nationally Planning Policy Framework \(NPPF\)](#) contain strengthened net gain policies. We encourage you to consider an approach to development that results in measurable net gains in biodiversity, having taken positive and negative impacts into account. [Planning Practice Guidance \(PPG\)](#) provides guidance on the application of net gain.

IEEM, together with CIRIA and IEMA have published guidance on how to deliver net gain in practice. These can be downloaded [here](#)

Pollution Prevention

Your proposal should incorporate pollution prevention measures to protect ground and surface water. Guidance is available at -

[Pollution prevention for businesses](#)

[Discharge to surface or ground water](#)

[Manage business and commercial waste](#)

[Store oil](#) and [oil storage regulations](#)

Further Planning Advice

Should you wish us to review any technical documents or want further advice to address the environmental issues, we can do this however we would seek to recover our costs as part of our charged for service.

Further engagement at the pre-application stage will speed up our formal response to your planning application and provide you with certainty as to what our response to your planning application will be. It should also result in a better quality and more environmentally sensitive development. As part of our charged for service we will provide a dedicated project manager to act as a single point of contact to help resolve any problems.

We currently charge £100 plus VAT per officer per hour. We will provide you with an estimated cost for any further discussions or review of documents. The standard terms for planning advice can be viewed [here](#).

Please note: This response is based on the information you have made available at this time. It is based on current national planning policy, associated legislation and environmental data / information. If any of these elements change in the future then we may need to reconsider our position.

We trust that the above information is of assistance. If you'd like further detailed advice, please don't hesitate to contact me using the details below.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Frances Edwards
Planning Advisor

[Redacted signature block]



YorkshireWater

Mr M Harris
RPS
Sherwood House
Sherwood Avenue
Newark
Nottinghamshire
NG24 1QQ

Yorkshire Water Services
Developer Services
Sewerage Technical Team
PO BOX 52
Bradford
BD3 7AY

Your Ref:
Our Ref: V019996

Email:

For telephone enquiries ring:

15th December 2019

Dear Mr Harris,

**Newlands - Hoyland, Park View House, Sheffield Road, Hoyland, S74 ODW - Pre-Planning
Sewerage-Enquiry-Industrial T659933**

Thank you for your recent enquiry and remittance. Our official VAT receipt has been sent to you under separate cover. Please find enclosed a complimentary extract from the Statutory Sewer Map which indicates the recorded position of the public sewers. Please note that as of October 2011 and the private to public sewer transfer, there are many uncharted Yorkshire Water assets currently not shown on our records.

The following comments reflect our view, with regard to the public sewer network only, based on a 'desk top' study of the site and are valid for a maximum period of twelve months.

Development of the site should take place with separate systems for foul and surface water drainage. The separate systems should extend to the points of discharge to be agreed.

Foul Water

At present the public foul network next to the site does not have adequate capacity available to accommodate the anticipated foul water discharge from the proposed site. Subject to agreeing a robust phased build and each of the four industrial units foul flow rates, foul water domestic waste should discharge to the 300 mm diameter public combined sewer recorded in Fitzwilliam Street, at a point to the east of the site. Other foul water discharge points can be agreed once more detailed build proposals are provided.

Foul water from kitchens and/or food preparation areas of any restaurants and/or canteens etc. must pass through a fat and grease trap of adequate design before any discharge to the public sewer network.

Surface Water

The developer's attention is drawn to Requirement H3 of the Building Regulations 2000. This establishes a preferred hierarchy for surface water disposal. Consideration should firstly be given to discharge to soakaway, infiltration system and watercourse in that priority order.

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), for example the use of soakaways and/or permeable hardstanding etc, may be a suitable solution for surface water disposal appropriate in this situation. You are advised to seek comments on the suitability of SuDS in this instance from the appropriate authorities.



As the proposed site is currently undeveloped no surface water is known to have previously discharged to the public sewer network

As such, the local public sewer network does not have capacity to accept any surface water from the proposed site. If SuDS are not viable, the developer is advised to contact the Environment Agency/local Land Drainage Authority/Internal Drainage Board* with a view to establishing a suitable watercourse for discharge.

It is understood that a watercourse is located to the south of the site. This appears to be the obvious place for surface water disposal (if SuDS are not viable). Please note Yorkshire Water cannot provide plans of culverted watercourses or highway drains. To obtain plans please contact the Lead Local Flood Authority for more details.

Please note further restrictions on surface water disposal from the site may be imposed by other parties. You are strongly advised to seek advice/comments from the Environment Agency/Land Drainage Authority/Internal Drainage Board, with regard to surface water disposal from the site.

Surface water run-off from communal parking (greater than 800 sq metres or more than 50 car parking spaces) and hardstanding must pass through an oil, petrol and grit interceptor/separator of adequate design before any discharge to the public sewer network. Roof water should not pass through the traditional 'stage' or full retention type of interceptor/separator. It is good drainage practice for any interceptor/separator to be located upstream of any on-site balancing, storage or other means of flow attenuation that may be required.

Surface water run-off from areas of vehicular parking and/or hardstanding etc. must pass through an oil, petrol and grit interceptor/separator of adequate design before any discharge to the public sewer network. Roof water should not pass through the traditional 'stage' or full retention type of interceptor/separator.

It is imperative, however that surface water run-off from the forecourt of petrol stations, areas used for the delivery of fuel, areas used for and immediately adjacent to vehicle washing facilities and/or other similar areas where detergent is likely to be used is not discharged to any public surface water sewer network. Surface water from such areas must pass through an oil, petrol and grit interceptor/separator of adequate design before discharge to the public foul or combined sewer network. A trade effluent consent - that may be conditional and, amongst other things, place a restriction on the rate of discharge to public sewer - may be required for such discharges. The developer is advised to contact Yorkshire Water's Industrial Waste Section (telephone 0345 1242424) about any such proposal.

It is good drainage practice for any interceptor/separator to be located upstream of any on-site balancing, storage or other means of flow attenuation that may be required.

Other Observations

Any new connection to an existing public sewer will require the prior approval of Yorkshire Water. You may apply on line or obtain an application form from our website (www.yorkshirewater.com) or by telephoning 0345 120 84 82.

Under the provisions of section 111 of the Water Industry Act 1991 it is unlawful to pass into any public sewer (or into any drain or private sewer communicating with the public sewer network) any items likely to cause damage to the public sewer network interfere with the free flow of its contents or affect the treatment and disposal of its contents. Amongst other things this includes fat, oil, nappies, bandages, syringes, medicines, sanitary towels and incontinence pants. Contravention of the provisions of section 111 is a criminal offence.

An off-site foul and surface water sewer may be required which may be provided by the developer and considered for adoption under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991. Please telephone 0345 120 84 82 for advice on sewer adoptions. Alternatively, the developer may in certain circumstances be able to requisition off-site sewers under Section 98 of the Water Industry Act 1991 for which an application must be made in writing. For further information, please telephone 0345 120 84 82.



YorkshireWater

Prospectively adoptable sewers and pumping stations must be designed and constructed in accordance with the WRc publication "Sewers for Adoption - a design and construction guide for developers" 6th Edition as supplemented by Yorkshire Water's requirements, pursuant to an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991. An application to enter into a Section 104 agreement must be made in writing prior to any works commencing on site. Please contact our Developer Services Team (telephone 0345 120 84 82) for further information.

The site is within an area that may be affected by river, coastal or estuarine flooding. We would advise you to contact the Environment Agency for details.

Yorkshire Water's Trade Effluent team must be consulted in respect of any proposed trade effluent discharge to the public sewer.


All the above comments are based upon the information and records available at the present time and is subject to formal planning approval agreement. The information contained in this letter together with that shown on any extract from the Statutory Sewer Map that may be enclosed is believed to be correct and is supplied in good faith. Please note that capacity in the public sewer network is not reserved for specific future development. It is used up on a 'first come, first served' basis. You should visit the site and establish the line and level of any public sewers affecting your proposals before the commencement of any design work.

Yours sincerely



YorkshireWater

We are open Monday to Friday
0800 – 1700
We are closed Bank Holidays and
Weekends




Chris Roberts
Sewerage Technical Team



Appendix B


Site Location Plan



Revision:
P1 First issue
P2 red line amended

mar2020 PL
15Apr2020 RM

0 10m 30m
SCALE 1:2500



Hoyland Common
Barnsley M1 J36

newlands
developments


pHp Architects
www.peter-haddon.com
Location Plan

Drawing Status: Preliminary
CAD Reference: 4400-001
Drawn: PL
Date: feb2020
Scale: @A0: 1/2500

Project No: 4400 Drawing No: 002 Rev: P2

Appendix C

Topographic Survey



BMBC
Drain A

Unknown how it is
collected

150mm culvert

400mm Culvert

O/H Power 11KV?

225mm culvert

O/H Power 11KV2

O/H telecom?

Buried telecom?

225mm culvert


Eastern Culvert

Existing watercourse Harley Dike

Western Culvert
• Northern Inlet
• Brick Circular Culvert approximately 1200mm
• Southern outfall
• Twin wall with an Althon Headwall approximately 1350mm

Appendix D


Proposed Development Plan



Areas Schedule									
Plot No.	Warehouse GIA sqft	Warehouse GIA sqm	Offices GIA sqft	Offices GIA sqm	Total GIA sqft	Total GIA sqm	Cars Parking	HGV Parking	Docks
1	298700	27750	40594	3771	339821	31571	650	595	163
2	480000	44593	44800	4162	524800	48755	380	133	64
Total	778700	72343	85394	7933	864621	80326	1030	728	227

Note: total Area figure for plot 1 includes VMU / gatehouse areas (as area schedule on Site Plan)

Revision:		
P1	first issue	29mar2019 PL
P2	Unit 2 scheme added	09dec2019 PL
P3	Unit 2 layout updated	10dec2019 PL
P4	layout updated	16dec2019 PL
P5	new road design, redline adjusted	29jan2020 PL
P6	residential site access proposal indicated	29jan2020 PL
P7	small commercial site layouts added	31jan2020 PL
P8	areas table corrected	03feb2020 PL
P9	updated following comments	03feb2020 PL
P10	net site areas noted	03feb2020 PL
P11	plot 2 changed to 2 units	12feb2020 RM
P12	road updated, ponds added	24feb2020 PL
P13	plot 2 amended to single unit	25mar2020 RM
P14	new RPS road design update	13apr2020 PL
P15	balancing pond, road and red line amended	15apr2020 RM
P16	Minor update to road	20apr2020 JM
P17	roundabout and Plot 2 access update	28apr2020 PL
P18	net site plot areas adjusted and shown	07may2020 PL
P19	plateau contours updated	19may2020 PL
P20	further earthworks update	20may2020 PL
P21	redline and road update	21may2020 PL
P22	acoustic fence, generator added, areas update	29may2020 PL
P23	acoustic barrier extent updated	04jun2020 PL
P24	Area Table corrected	10jun2020 PL



Hoyland Common
Barnsley M1, J36



pHp Architects
www.peter-haddon.com
Masterplan

Drawing Status:	Preliminary
CAD Reference:	4400-001
Drawn:	PL
Date:	feb2020
Scale @A1:	1/2500

Project No:	Drawing No:	Rev:
4400	001	P24

Appendix E

Existing Drainage Plans

