11a George Street,

Worsborough Dale,

Barnsley

Phase 2 Pre-development Arboricultural Report

Prepared at the request of Peter Thompson Architect

08 October 2019

By

Ian Kennedy

Wharncliffe Trees and Woodland Consultancy

All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without written permission. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of the addressee in respect of this site. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this site without written consent.

Summary

I have been instructed by Peter Thompson Architect to carry out a pre-development survey of one tree growing on land to the north of 11a George Street, Worsborough Dale, Barnsley.

The location, crown spread and root protection area (RPA) of the tree is recorded on Plan 1 showing the existing layout. Plan 2 shows the proposed layout.

Table 1 records information about the tree, including its species, dimensions, age, life expectancy, retention category and root protection area. This information was collected, interpreted and recorded in accordance with BS5837:2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations*.

The tree is a silver birch that has been included in retention category B because of its good health and prominence in the immediate area where there are few other trees.

The RPA for the tree extends into the property but this is the area currently occupied by the existing garage and driveway. This accounts for around 15% of the RPA. However, the conditions under the garage are likely to be quite unfavourable for rooting and it is likely that more rooting will take place into the open space area.

The proposed footprint of the side extension is within the same footprint as the existing garage and only occupies approximately 4% of the tree's RPA. For these reasons I believe that the proposals will have limited impact on the tree.

Contents

1. Introduction	5
1.1 Instruction	5
1.2 Documents and Information Provided	5
1.3 Limitations	5
2. Site Visit and Observations	6
2.1 Site Visit	6
2.2 Brief Site Description	6
2.3 Development Proposals	6
2.4 Tree Observations	6
2.5 Locations of the Trees	6
3. Interpretation of Information and References	8
3.1 BS5837:2012 Tree Retention Categories	8
3.2 Below Ground Constraints; Root Protection Areas (RPA)	8
3.3 Above Ground Constraints ; Crown Spreads	9
3.4 Conception and Design	9
4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement	10
4.1 Arboricultural Impact Assessment	10
4.2 Arboricultural Method Statement	10
4.3 Relevant industry standards and codes of practice	11
4.4 General Precautions	11
5. Conclusions	12
6. Legal Considerations	13
Plan 1 Tree Constraints Plan Showing the Existing Layout	14
Plan 2 Tree Planting Plan Showing the Proposed Layout	15
Appendix 1. Qualifications and experience of Ian Kennedy	16
Appendix 2. Tree retention categories	18
Appendix 3. Explanatory notes for terms used in this report	19

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Instruction

I have been instructed by Peter Thompson Architect to carry out a pre-development tree survey of one tree on land to the north of 11a George Street, Worsborough Dale, Barnsley.

The tree survey is intended to provide a structured, impartial assessment of the tree population within the proposed development area.

The survey is intended to be informative to all stages of the development process and was carried out in accordance with *BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations.*

1.2 Documents and Information Provided

I was provided with the following documents:

A drawing produced by Peter Thompson Architectural Design showing the proposed layout.

1.3 Limitations

This report is concerned only with assessing the condition of the trees, their importance in the local landscape and any cultural and conservation values.

It takes no account of the affects the trees may have on the soil, such as heave where trees are removed or shrinkage where trees are retained.

Trees are dynamic organisms influenced by weather, pests and diseases. Therefore, this report can only remain valid for a period of 24 months.

Any works around the trees such as trenching, pruning, storage of materials and trafficking that has not first been approved by a suitably qualified arboriculturalist will invalidate this report.

No decay detection equipment was used to gather information on the condition of the trees.

All survey and inspection was completed at ground level.

2.0 Site Visit and Observations

2.1 Site Visit

The site visit took place on 03 October 2019. All dimensions were taken using recognised methodology and arboricultural measuring equipment, unless otherwise stated.

The weather at the time of inspection was overcast by dry with good visibility. Winds were light.

2.2 Brief Site Description

11a George Street is a semi detached dwelling on the corner of George Street with Pantry Green in Worsborough Dale, Barnsley at Ordinance Survey grid reference SE 3616 0391.

The property has a sectional concrete garage attached to the gable end of the dwelling with an associated driveway.

The tree is growing on some public open space to the northeast of the property. The open space is mainly of close mown grass with a footway between George Street and Pantry Green.

2.3 Development Proposals

The development proposes a small extension to the side of the dwelling to replace the existing garage and a small extension to the rear.

2.4 Tree Observations

The tree included in this report was inspected in detail and the information on its size, condition and retention category is included in Table 1 below.

2.5 Locations of the Trees

The position of the tree was plotted by me using fixed known points to triangulate from.

Table 1. The Tree Survey

Tree number	Species	Height (M)	Stem diameter (DBH in MM)	Branch spread (M)	Ht first branch above GL* (M)	Ht of canopy above GL (M)	Life stage	Vitality	General observations on the tree's condition	Estimated life in years	Category
T1	Silver birch	15.5	540	North – 6.4 South – 5.5 East – 7.5# West – 4.2	4.0 (south east)	3.0 (north east)	Mature	Moder-ate	This is a tall, prominent tree in the local area. It has good structural condition and relatively good vitality. There are a number of pruning wounds up to 20cm diameter to the trunk, some are occluding and some are decaying.	20+	**B (1)**

- Estimated * GL - Ground Level

3.0 Interpretation of Information and References

My interpretation and appraisal of information gathered from the survey is based on experience of tree species, visual risk hazard assessment and the guidance set out in BS5837:2012 *Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition, Construction – Recommendations*. My qualifications and experience in arboriculture are included in appendix 1.

3.1 BS5837:2012 Tree Retention Categories

All trees have been assessed and assigned a category in accordance with Table 1 of the standard. A copy of Table 1 is included as Appendix 2. This categorisation is intended to rank trees according to their importance in terms of quality, health, life expectancy, amenity and landscape value, together with wildlife and cultural importance. This ranking assists in determining the suitability and appropriateness of trees for retention in any development. Categories A to C are those considered for retention, 'A' being highest. Category 'U' trees are those not suitable for retention because of impaired condition.

Generally category A and B trees should be given more consideration in layouts than category C trees as these are considered more valuable because of their condition, landscape value, future life expectancy or, on occasions because of their more favourable habitat value.

3.2 Below Ground Constraints; Root Protection Areas (RPAs)

The root protection area is the area of land considered necessary for trees should they be retained as part of any development. This is calculated using the stem diameter measured at 1.5 metres from ground level. This protection area is shown diagrammatically as a circle centred on the base of the tree where it is expected that rooting has not been impeded in any one direction and where disturbance has not taken place. See Plan 1. Where rooting has been impeded or disturbance taken place then the shape and size of the root protection area is modified according to an assessment of where rooting is likely to take place.

Where trees are to be retained, it is optimal to locate structures and services outside the RPA. However, where incursion becomes necessary, technical solutions may be possible to limit damage, areas lost can be compensated elsewhere, or the soil environment can be improved. In these circumstances an arboricultural method statement will be necessary to ensure that works are undertaken sympathetically and do not damage the below ground parts of the trees.

3.3 Above Ground Constraints; Crown Spreads

Ideally, working areas and construction will be out with the crown spreads of trees to be retained. However where access by high sided vehicles and machinery for construction or erection of scaffolding is necessary within the crown spreads of trees to facilitate development an arboricultural method statement will be necessary to ensure pruning works are carried out sympathetically prior to construction works commencing.

Any permanent development within the canopy spread of a tree will also require a method statement. However, the effects of shade and other perceived inconveniences of trees this close to property should also be considered, together with the future growth potential of the trees and the maintenance obligation this will bring.

3.4 Conception and Design

The constraints imposed by trees should assist with site design and layout, together with the other competing needs of development. Generally the trees in the higher categories (A and B) should be given greater consideration in any layout than the lowest retention category (C).

As well as the footprint of buildings, the provisions of services, infrastructure layout and the access space required for construction itself should be considered.

4.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement

4.1 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

The root protection area (RPA) of the tree extends into the garden of the property by approximately 3m. This is the area currently occupied by the existing garage and the driveway. The area of the RPA within the garden is approximately 15% of the tree's total RPA.
The area of the RPA under the drive and garage is likely to have sub optimal conditions for tree roots and it is possible that there will be more rooting within the grassy open space area because this has more favourable rooting conditions.

The proposed side extension is within the existing garage footprint. The total area of the RPA that would be affected by the side extension is only 4%. This is well within tolerable limits.

The rear extension will not impact upon any trees.

4.2 Arboricultural Method Statement

Method statements are produced to avoid damage to the above and below ground parts of existing trees that are to be retained during development operations.

The tree is growing outside the application site. There is a concrete section fence between the application site and the open space where the tree is growing.

Provided that the boundary fence is to be retained and none of the development activities, including storage of materials, will take place on the open space area there will be no need for protection measures.

I would advise against completing any development activity, including the storage of materials on the open space area.

4.3 Relevant Industry Standards and Codes of Practice

The main arboricultural industry standards and codes of best practice relevant to the planning and execution of this project are:

- BS 5837 (2012) – Trees in relation to construction – Recommendations;
- BS 3998 (2010) – Tree Work – Recommendations; and
- National Joint Utilities Guidelines, Volume 4 – NJUG Guidelines For The Planning, Installation And Maintenance Of Utility Apparatus In Proximity To Trees (Issue 2) (www.njug.org.uk)

4.4 General Precautions

The following general precautions should ensure the health and longevity of the retained tree. They should be enforced during the construction phase within the RPAs of the retained tree and under its canopy.

- All work will be carried out in accordance with a current risk assessment.
- All proprietary materials will be installed in accordance with their manufacturer's instructions and a current risk assessment.
- All equipment and tools will be used in accordance with their manufacturer's instructions and a current risk assessment.
- No storage of materials or fuel.
- No bonfires within 10m of the outer edge of the crown or RPA of a tree.
- No refuelling of mechanical equipment.
- No mixing of cement.
- No washing of cement mixers.
- No raising the soil level without the agreement of the Local Planning Authority (LPA).
- No excavations without the agreement of the LPA.
- Only operate or park vehicles and plant if the soil is suitably protected, as agreed by the LPA.
- The guidance contained within the National Joint Utilities Group Volume 4 (Guidelines For The Planning, Installation And Maintenance Of Utility Apparatus In Proximity To Trees (Issue 2, 2007); http://www.njug.org.uk/) will be followed when installing underground services within the rooting

areas of retained trees. To minimise potential damage to tree roots excavations will be carried out by a pneumatic excavation lance.

- No materials will be dumped or stored within the RPA of a tree or under its canopy, whether in a skip or directly on the ground, unless the ground is suitably protected against contamination and compaction.

5.0 Conclusions

The tree included in this report is growing on neighbouring land and included in retention category B because of its prominence in the local area.

The root protection area extends slightly into the property which is currently occupied by the existing garage and drive.

The proposed side extension is within the footprint of the existing garage and will affect approximately 4% of the trees root protection area.

I believe that the proposals will not have a negative impact on the health of the tree provided the public opens space is not used during the demolition of the garage or construction of the extensions.

6.0 Legal Considerations

Protected trees

No checks have been made with the Local Planning Authority for Tree Preservation Orders, other planning conditions or inclusion of the site in a Conservation Area. However, if any of the trees subject to this report are protected it will be necessary to apply to the local planning authority (LPA) for permission before any work, other than certain exempted operations, can be carried out.

Wildlife conservation legislation

Breeding birds are protected, together with bats and their roosts, whether their roosts are in use or not.

Consideration should be given to the presence of protected species prior to any proposed tree removal or maintenance. This will include breeding birds, principally between March and August, and bats at any time of year.

Tree surgeons should also be aware of their duties under legislation to protect wildlife and



Plan 1 Tree Constraints Plan showing the existing layout

Scale 1:200 @ A3

Key

Category B crown
Category B stem
Category B RPA



Plan 2 Tree Constraints Plan showing the proposed layout

Scale 1:200 @ A3

Key

Category B crown
Category B stem
Category B RPA

Appendix 1.Qualifications and Experience of Ian Kennedy

1. Qualifications

Ian graduated from the Scottish Agricultural College in August 1995 with a Higher National Diploma in Horticulture (HND) with Distinction.

In 1998 Ian graduated from the University of Aberdeen with a BSc (Hons) Upper second class in Forestry with Arboriculture and Amenity Forestry.

He passed the LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection examination in 2006.

In 2009 his application to become a professional member of the Arboricultural Association was assessed to fulfil all the necessary requirements and he became a professional member of the Association that year.

In 2011 he passed the final examination of the Institute of Chartered Foresters and become a member of that institute in January 2012.

2. Practical experience

Presently Ian is working in private practice as an independent arboricultural and woodland management consultant undertaking tree conditions surveys, pre-development tree surveys to the BS5837:2012 standard, mortgage reports and woodland management planning works. Clients range from home owners and farmers to architects, building companies, local authorities, schools and larger development companies.

Prior to private practice Ian held a number of positions in local government. Firstly he was the arboriculturalist within a planning office in Essex. Ian gained considerable experience regarding trees in relation to development, in particular BS 5837.

Development work formed the core of his duties and applications ranged from small back garden developments to major schemes such as the redevelopment of Ministry of Defence land for private residential development. Ian also undertook all functions associated with

Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs), including the making of new TPOs, assessing suitability of applications to work on protected trees and trees in conservation areas.

Ian went on to manage a 500 hectare woodland estate for a local authority in South Yorkshire that included a mix of urban and rural woodlands. This included preparation and implementation of detailed management plans for multiple use woodlands. He undertook all aspects of silvicultural management from marking to contract tendering and monitoring. He also managed the access, conservation, landscape and archaeological requirements of the estate. Ian was directly involved in the estate achieving Forest Stewardship Council certification in 2003 and personally ensured continued certification.

Ian has worked extensively with Forestry Commission to obtain the necessary licences for management works and ensured the estate benefited fully from the full range of grants available.

Latterly at the same authority Ian went on to manage the trees and woodlands unit, having overall responsibility for management of the authority's tree and woodland stock and associated staff, together with delivery of other tree related services such as those associated with the Town and Country Planning Acts.

3. Continuing professional development

Ian regularly attends meetings, seminars and training events hosted by The Arboricultural Association. Institute of Chartered Foresters, Royal Forestry Society and Forestry Commission and benefits from the respective journals, briefings and newsletters available to members of the first three of the organisations listed.

4. Relevant experience

Ian Kennedy has spent 19 years working with trees, including as the arboricultural advisor to planning officers for a Local Planning Authority and manager of a trees and woodlands unit for another local authority with overall responsibility for trees, including in relation to the Town and Country Planning Acts.

Appendix 2. Tree Retention Categories

Table 1 **Cascade chart for tree quality assessment**

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	• Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) • Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline • Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality *NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.*			See Table 2
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	**2 Mainly landscape qualities**	**3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation**	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A **Trees of high quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	See Table 2
Category B **Trees of moderate quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2
Category C **Trees of low quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2

© The British Standards Institution 2012 • 9

Appendix 3. Explanatory notes for terms used in this report

- **Compass Bearing:** N = north; S = south; E = east; W = west;
- **Age Class:** Assessed as either:
    - Young = a size which could be easily transplanted;
    - Semi-mature = prior to seed bearing age and could be transplanted with care;
    - Young Mature = early maturity, not fully grown but of seed bearing age and may have achieved mature height;
    - Mature = fully grown, annual growth is much reduced;
    - Old Mature = old for the species, possibly starting to decline;
- **Trunk Diameter:** These figures relate to the diameter of the trunk at a given distance above ground level and are recorded in centimetres measured with a diameter tape.
- **Estimated size:** #
- **Health:**
    - Normal Vitality = normal growth and twig extension;
    - Moderate Vitality = reduced twig extension but other than that few signs of ill-health;
    - Early Decline = reduced twig extension and some dead twigs in the outer canopy;
    - Mid-decline = small internodes, the canopy may be thinning and contain dead twigs and/or branches in the outer canopy, older branch wounds that haven't occluded may be decaying and forming cavities;
    - Severe Decline = sparse crown, numerous dead twigs and branches in the outer canopy, older branch wounds likely to be decaying and forming cavities;
    - Dead.
- **Structural Condition**
    - Acute stem union = a weak union between two or more stems at the main forking point caused by the formation of reaction wood. Mechanical pressure at the forking point increases as secondary thickening occurs increasing the risk of failure at that point.
    - Acute branch union = the same principle as acute stem unions but between a stem and a branch or two branches rather than 2 main stems.

Ian Kennedy
Wharncliffe Trees and Woodland Consultancy
16 Hartcliffe View
Thurgoland
Sheffield
S35 7BD

0114 288 5501
07891 488303

info@wharncliffetwc.co.uk