

## MU1 Planning AQ Review

2021/1089 – Hybrid Commercial

2021/1090 – Hybrid Residential

### Operational Phase Assessment

2026 – assessed for combined scenarios for 2 and 3 (how can you do this for one with no development and one with it all done (how much is expected to be done by 2026)

2033 - assessed for combined scenarios for 4 and 5 (how can you do this for one with no development and one with it all done (how much is expected to be done by 2033)

Where have these figures been derived? Are they in appendices, modelling. Do you consider the

Do both scenarios seem ok? What do you consider is missing?

AQ1 – originally 9 scenarios, now down to 5. Previous officer was involved in this, but we can request clarification.

AQ2 – This does seem a glaring error, given our previous request

AQ3 – can request this, but not sure how much impact on outcomes

AQ4 - Very good point, this appears to have been glossed over and merged. Clarification required to ensure early occupiers are

AQ5 – noted

AQ6 – out of my scope, but I will pass on information

AQ7 – will request this

AQ8 – clarification will be requested

AQ9 – again clarification needed

AQ10 – noted

AQ11 – will pass onto EHO who deal with this, conditions will be attached

AQ12 – same as above

AQ13 – we have a standard condition to address this

AQ14 – Again this will be passed to EHO

AQ15 – Will request revision. How can the costs be proportioned against the two applications, given they are being submitted by different companies?

AQ16 – Yes we normally get some proposed mitigation in the reports, and then would condition the submission of a full Mitigation report outlining these measures. Will request a revision as part of all the other changes

AQ17 – all subsequent developments/applications will have conditions to address this

AQ18 – noted

AQ19 – will pass this on to our relevant team who will pick this up

AQ20 – noted,

AQ21 – Given Damage cost need work, will request this

AQ22 – again will request this