

Pentland Traffic Light Model Rating Form

Site Name **Darfield**
 Site Ref **31037**
 Date RLMR Created **02/02/2009**
 LPA **Barnsley MBC**

Overall Site Rating **Green**
 Development Proposed **SF**
 PPM

Sensitive Land Use All Issues 0 - 30

Sensitive Land Use Standard 0 - 15

Residential property or homes 0 - 15

Location of site in relation to building
 Located on a residential tower block 10 - 15
 next to 10-15
 in close proximity 5 - 9
 far from 0 - 4

TOTAL

Schools 0 - 15

Location of site in relation to boundary
 Located on 15
 next to 10 - 14
 close proximity 5 - 9
 near to 0 - 4
 far from 0

TOTAL

Nurseries, playgroups, play grounds

recreation grounds (with children's areas) 0-15

Location of site in relation to building
 next to 10 - 15
 in close proximity 5 - 9
 far from 0 - 4

TOTAL

Hospital Property 0 - 15

Buildings or grounds
 Located on 0 - 10
 Elsewhere 0

TOTAL

TOTAL - Sensitive Land Use

Other sensitive land use to be treated on it's own merits but could score 0 - 15

Siting and Appearance 0 - 10

This category is more subjective. A score must be given based on the implications of the issues in the category for that particular site.

Siting and Appearance 0 - 10

High Environment Impact 6 - 10
 Low Environment Impact 0 - 5

TOTAL

Planning 0 - 5

Development Plan policies (site specifics) 0 - 5

Positive stance towards proposal 0
 Neutral stance 0
 Negative stance 5

TOTAL

Precedents/Site history 0 - 5

Previous applications refused 5
 No history of telecommunications proposed 0
 Previous applications successful 0

TOTAL

Located within special land use 0 - 5

National Park, AONB, SSSI 0 - 5
 Others i.e. World Heritage Site, Registered Park or Garden, Archaeological site, Special Landscape Area, Heritage Coast Zone
 National Nature Reserve. 0 - 5
 Within 50m of Conservation Area 0 - 5

TOTAL

Location in relation to sensitive site 0 - 5

On a Listed Building 5
 Within 50m of Listed Building 1 - 5
 Greater than 50m 0

TOTAL

OVERALL " All Sensitive Land Use Issues" TOTAL

Community Issues - vertical axis 0 - 30

Views and attitudes of local communities 0 - 15

Previous residents activity

Considerable opposition 10 - 15
 Some opposition 5 - 9
 Little opposition 0 - 4

TOTAL

Social Political 0 - 10

Council Policy on Telecommunications 0 - 10

Against Telecoms 8 - 10
 No Committal 4 - 7
 No Prejudice/For Telecoms 0 - 3

TOTAL

Stance of Planning Committee 0 - 10

All refusals 10
 Some refusals (more than 50%) 5 - 9
 Some refusals (less than 50%) 0 - 4

TOTAL

Local/Key Stakeholders, Non Government Organisations 0 - 8

Active interest 5 - 8
 Some interest 1 - 4
 No interest 0

TOTAL

Involvement of MP 0 - 10

Significant previous involvement 10
 No previous involvement 0

TOTAL

LPA own land and Property 0 - 10

Moratorium on all land and property 10
 Partial Moratorium i.e. residential 5
 No moratorium 0

TOTAL

Media 0 - 5

Previous media interest 0 - 5

Significant negative publicity 5
 No interest 0

OVERALL " ALL Community Issues" TOTAL

Does the Rating feel Rights?

It is Important to appreciate that a degree of common sense must be applied in determining a Rating for a particular site. Once the Rating has been given and it is obvious that it is not appropriate then a final review should be undertaken. The Rating can be amended but only if there are compelling reasons, in other words this health check should be used on rare occasions. A full written justification must be given as to why the Rating was changed and may have to be reviewed as all sites are.

Comments Section 1 (All Sensitive Land Issues)

Comments Section 2 (Community Issues)

The field " the LPA own land and property "is ambiguous as a street furniture option is not relevant due to the fact that O2 have a statutory right to put their equipment on adopted highway. I have followed the instructions and marked it as a 10 due to the LPA having a Moratorium, however I do rate this option as Amber.

Rating

GREEN

Does The Final Rating Feel Right?

Yes

Reason if No Reason as above.

Signed: N.J.Wallis

Date: 02/02/2009